

READING BOROUGH COUNCIL

REPORT BY DIRECTOR OF ENVIRONMENT AND NEIGHBOURHOOD SERVICES

TO:	TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SUB-COMMITTEE		
DATE:	3 NOVEMBER 2016	AGENDA ITEM:	9
TITLE:	UNIVERSITY & HOSPITAL AREA STUDY - UPDATE		
LEAD COUNCILLOR:	TONY PAGE	PORTFOLIO:	STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND TRANSPORT
SERVICE:	TRANSPORTATION AND STREETCARE	WARDS:	REDLANDS
LEAD OFFICERS:	CRIS BUTLER CHRIS MADDOCKS	TEL:	0118 937 2068 0118 937 2138
JOB TITLE:	STRATEGIC TRANSPORTATION PROGRAMME MANAGER TRANSPORT PLANNING MANAGER	E-MAIL:	Cris.butler@reading.gov.uk Chris.maddocks@reading.gov.uk

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to update the Sub-Committee on the latest position with regard to the identification of transport issues and potential solutions in the residential areas around the University of Reading and Royal Berkshire Hospital.
- 1.2 A consultation was undertaken in May 2012 on the principle of prioritising parking for local residents through introducing a Residents' Parking Scheme, to include elements of pay and display parking, alongside complementary transport measures in the local area. The scheme was proposed to help address the issues previously identified by residents through the study.
- 1.3 Due to the mixed nature of responses received through the consultation, the study Steering Group took the decision not to proceed with the proposed parking scheme at that time. It was agreed that the study would continue working closely with key stakeholders, including the University and Hospital, to reassess the feasibility of introducing the complementary transport schemes as outlined in the consultation and as supported through feedback received from residents.

- 1.4 This work has continued over the past few years, and alongside detailed discussions with key stakeholders, a second set of proposals has recently been completed. A local consultation including a local exhibition has since taken place in September and October 2015 by the Redlands Ward Councillors on the latest plans.
- 1.5 At the January 2016 meeting of this Sub-Committee, Members approved progression to Statutory Consultation on a series of new parking restrictions located to the west of Alexandra Road (including Alexandra Road) and to not progress the proposals promoted to the east of Alexandra Road due to feedback received during the informal consultation. The Statutory Consultation was completed in May and June 2016.
- 1.6 Following the meeting of this Sub-Committee in March 2016, it was agreed that Officers investigate the introduction of a “permit holder parking beyond this point” scheme in Cardigan Road, Cardigan Gardens and Foxhill Road on a model based on the schemes in some London Boroughs which avoid the need for marked parking bays.
- 1.7 Following the meeting of this Sub-Committee in June 2016, it was agreed to suspend the introduction of the proposals advertised to the west of Alexandra Road until officers have concluded their investigation into potential “permit holder parking beyond this point” restrictions in the narrow roads located to the east of Alexandra Road.
- 1.8 An appropriate model of residents parking scheme was identified by Officers and it was agreed at the Traffic Management Sub-Committee in September 2016 to complete a Statutory Consultation on that scheme.
- 1.9 This report details the results of that consultation and the recommended actions.
- 1.10 Appendix 1 - summary of objections to the October 2016 consultation.

## **2. RECOMMENDED ACTION**

- 2.1 That the Sub-Committee note the report.
- 2.2 That both sets of proposals advertised in May 2016 and October 2016 be implemented as advertised.
- 2.3 That the objectors be informed accordingly.

## **3. POLICY CONTEXT**

- 3.1 To secure the most effective use of resources in the delivery of high quality, best value public service.

## 4. THE PROPOSAL

- 4.1 Reading's transport strategy is contained within the LTP 2011-2026, which reviews challenges and opportunities throughout Reading and proposes Local Action Plans to be developed in neighbourhoods to address these challenges. These Action Plan Areas are based on a division of the urban area identified in the LTP 2006-2011, and represent continuity in implementing multi-targeted transport measures throughout Reading.
- 4.2 The LTP's vision is based on the vision for Reading set out in the Sustainable Communities Strategy by the Local Strategic Partnership. The vision is supported by a number of overarching objectives and enabling policies, which are in turn supported by detailed policies and objectives on a variety of themes, from cycling and parking to road safety and travel information. The policies and objectives for each theme are designed to help identify actions to address issues in local neighbourhoods.
- 4.3 In line with the LTP, a consultation was undertaken in May 2012 on the principle of prioritising parking in the Hospital and University area for local residents through introducing a Residents' Parking Scheme, to include elements of pay and display parking, alongside complementary transport measures in the local area. The scheme was proposed to help address the issues previously identified by residents through the study.
- 4.4 Due to the mixed nature of responses received through the consultation, the study Steering Group took the decision not to proceed with the proposed parking scheme at that time. It was agreed to continue with the study and focus on continuing to work closely with key stakeholders, including the University and Hospital, to reassess the feasibility of introducing the complementary transport schemes as outlined in the consultation and as supported through feedback received from residents.
- 4.5 This work has continued over the past few years, and recently, a second set of proposals were prepared by the Council and presented for consultation by the Redlands Ward Councillors.
- 4.6 Redlands Ward Councillors promoted the latest set of proposals via a local leaflet delivered to all properties in the study area, information on the Redlands Councillors website, and a local exhibition took place at St Lukes Church Hall on Monday 28 September 2015 between 5:00pm to 7:00pm supported by Council Transport Officers.
- 4.7 A report was submitted to this Sub-Committee in January 2016 confirming the results of the informal consultation and liaison with the Emergency Services. Members approved progression of the proposals located to the west of Alexandra Road (including Alexandra Road) to Statutory Consultation as these proposals were in general well received. However, due to the feedback received from Residents and the Emergency Services,

Members agreed that the proposals to the east of Alexandra Road were not progressed any further.

- 4.8 In May 2016, the Statutory Consultation was carried out on the proposals west of Alexandra Road and the results of the consultation was reported to the Traffic Management Sub-Committee in June 2016. At this meeting, it was agreed to suspend introduction of any new waiting restrictions until officers were able to confirm the status of any potential resident parking scheme in those narrow roads to the east of Alexandra Road.
- 4.9 As reported through various reports to the Traffic Management Sub Committee, those narrow roads where the standard marked bay residents parking scheme could not apply on both sides were Foxhill Road, Cardigan Road, Cardigan Gardens, Donnington Road, Blenheim Road, Hatherley Road, Donnington Gardens and Blenheim Gardens.
- 4.10 Since the January 2016 meeting of this sub-committee, Officers continued to investigate a type of residents parking scheme where marked parking bays are not necessary which would be appropriate for those roads as detailed in paragraph 4.9 above. Officers discovered a new scheme in Coventry where similar problems exist, and they have applied a residents parking scheme where marked bays are not applied, and "gateway signs" are displayed notifying road users where the residents parking scheme commences from. This model is appropriate for all roads detailed in 4.9, however the standard "shared use" residents parking scheme is not possible with this model and if a scheme is approved following consultation, residents of those streets will be required to use their visitor permits for short or long term visitors.
- 4.11 The Statutory Consultation on the second set of proposals as detailed in 4.9 and 4.10 above took place between 29<sup>th</sup> September 2016 and 20<sup>th</sup> October 2016. Consultation notices were placed on-street within the consultation area, alongside promotion via the Council Website and Social Media platforms.
- 4.12 A total of 120 objections have been received to date and it would appear the majority are objecting to the proposals consulted upon in May 2016. This has included the resubmission of the petition containing approximately 8000 signatures. The objections are shown in appendix 1.
- 4.14 Officers recommend members of the Sub-Committee review the details of this report, and previous reports, and consider the objections submitted to the latest set of proposals.
- 4.15 Officers have noted and reviewed the objections to date. Whilst there have been several objections against the pay and display elements of the project, both sets of proposals achieve the initial objectives of the study in creating a managed parking scheme for the area (also detailed in 4.3 above). Officers would therefore recommend to the Sub-Committee to

make both traffic regulation orders advertised in May and October 2016 and implement the proposed parking and waiting restrictions early in the New Year.

## **5. CONTRIBUTION TO STRATEGIC AIMS**

5.1 The delivery of the projects outlined in this report help to deliver the following Corporate Plan Service Priorities:

- Keeping the town clean, safe, green and active.
- Providing infrastructure to support the economy.

## **6. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AND INFORMATION**

6.1 The projects have and will continue to be communicated to the local community through local exhibitions and Council meetings.

6.2 Statutory Consultations in May and October 2016.

## **7. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS**

7.1 The Statutory Consultations have been completed in accordance with the Local Authorities Traffic Orders (Procedure) (England and Wales) Regulations 1996.

## **8. EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

8.1 In addition to the Human Rights Act 1998 the Council is required to comply with the Equalities Act 2010. Section 149 of the Equalities Act 2010 requires the Council to have due regard to the need to:-

- eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under this Act;
- advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it;
- foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.

8.2 The Council has carried out an Equality Impact Assessment scoping exercise and considers that the proposals do not have a direct impact on any groups with protected characteristics.

## **9. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

9.1 None relating to this report.

## **10. BACKGROUND PAPERS**

## 10.1 Traffic Management Sub-Committee reports.

HOSPITAL & UNIVERSITY AREA PARKING CONSULTATION - OBJECTIONS TO TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER  
 ITEM 9 APPENDIX 1 - Summary of letters of support and objections received to Traffic Regulation Order

UPDATED: 25/10/16

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	General objections to P&D/RP: 115 Support: 12 Outside scope (e.g. additional requests / not part of this consultation): 15	
1	If you have ever had a hospital appointment, you will know that they rarely happen at the actual appointment time, but usually some time later. Also it is possible that further investigations such as x-rays etc may be required, with further waiting. Plus the time taken to find a parking-ticket machine, find wherever the appointment is (arriving a bit early) and walk back to the car afterwards. Patients are not always very mobile. So it is quite possible to go over the 2 hour restriction for Schedules 8 and 9, or the time paid for in Schedules 8, 9 and 10, through no fault of the patient. Are we expected to interrupt the appointment before the 2 hours or whatever time has been paid for to leave the hospital to find another parking place?	The objector is not specific about the area of proposed P&D restrictions to which they object. It is possible that the objector is objecting to the overall principle of installing P&D around the hospital, much of which formed part of previous phases of consultation. This consultation only proposes the introduction of P&D on 2 streets and many of the locations to which the consultation applies are existing limited waiting bays. These proposals would replace the limited waiting with pay & display parking, which is limited to the same maximum stay periods. In other locations the pay and display bays will create a turn-around of parking in areas that could otherwise be parked all day, which should increase the availability of short-term parking in the area.  Part of this objection refers to schedules 8 - 10, which advertise the intended P&D rates only.
2	I suggest that the end of De Beauvoir Road nearest to Cemetery Junction should be added to schedule 9 as it is now frequently used by Tesco customers preventing residents from parking. It is especially busy from 17-18.00 Mon-Fri.	While this location is outside of the scope of this consultation, this request could be considered for any further phases of work, of in the Waiting Restriction Review Programme.
3	Please, register my objection against pay and display near RBH.	As per objection No.1.
4	i strongly object to this as this is nothin but a money makin scam.by rbc....the current system in place is workin just fine so if its not broke why do u want to mess.with it buisness and local residents r up in arms ...the problems r the students who say r skint but each has a car limit this to 1 car per household.even if there r 5 or 6 poeple in the house..common sense is free of charge.	As per objection No.1.  The introduction of a resident permit parking scheme will limit the number of vehicles permitted to park on the Highway, by each property within the zone.
5	I think it is very wrong to be charging for parking around The Royal Berks Hospital. Adding to the stress of getting to appointments on time or visiting poorly relatives or friends is the problem of finding the money or correct change. It's bad enough parking already. And what about the students who are training and having vital placements on wards - they are our future. They no longer get a bursary so are already struggling. Where are there payments coming from?	As per objection No.1.
6	I am an 82-year old pensioner who is also a FREQUENT attendee at a number of different clinic departments at the Royal Berks Hospital. I am APPALLED that you are proposing to CHARGE ME for finding a place in nearby roads when I am attending this	As per objection No.1.

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	<p>hospital. I have long ago given up attempting to get into the multi-storey car park for my appointments and always end up having to find somewhere in the streets. Walking to and from the car to the hospital is bad enough especially using a walking stick, but not only having to pay for the privilege but also sometimes having to go out again and move the car if I am delayed more than two hours at a visit will be even more problematic if I'm paying that exorbitant fee as well. Reading traffic is a problem for everyone - and getting to and from the RBH even by buses (two in my case) is no easy task for a pensioner such as myself. The other day I had to pay £11.60 to get home by taxi as I was too exhausted to cope with the buses.</p>	
7	<p>I regularly visit the Royal Berkshire hospital and use the on street parking near by. I am very concerned to hear that the council is planning to put meters onto these parking sites. I would not be able to afford to visit friends especially the elderly who are in hospital if this is introduced. It will detrimentally impact on me. I urge you to reconsider these proposals.</p>	As per objection No.1.
8	<p>As a resident in Earley who often uses Royal Berkshire Hospital since it is my local hospital, parking nearby to visit sick friends as well as when I have had to go to outpatients myself is helpful in making such visits less stressful. I would therefore urge you to keep parking near to the hospital free. For the sake of the sick, please have compassion, otherwise those who are ill will be penalised.</p>	As per objection No.1.
9	<p>Its going yo hit elderly especially hard when already their incomes have been decimated by low interest rates. MY husband has to repeatedly go to hearing aid dept at RBH and always goes early to be 1st in queue at 8.30am but if he has to gind parking fees it will be impossible. Bracknell hearing aid people are unable to deal with his aids and we are totally dependant on interest from savings which have been decimated. THANK YOU FOR HITTING ROBBED PENSIONERS not everyone enjoys gold plated pensions.</p>	As per objection No.1.
10	<p>I am horrified at the proposals to charge huge fees for Pay and Display in the roads around the hospital. Have you any idea how stressful it is to visit RBH in the first place? Here's a description:</p> <p>Arrive in Craven Road, having struggled through dreadful traffic jams but have allowed more than half an hour for that and another extra half hour to allow for finding a parking spot. Start off in the multi storey car park. This is utterly filthy and depressing, poor built, poorly maintained, too small and several machines are usually out of order. Naturally the pay machines are not on every floor and the most convenient is in a dark threatening area outside the safety of the hospital. Not a good design! Of course, after driving round and round several times, one gives up and heads for the roads around the hospital. These are completely full of parked cars but eventually a space appears. Hurrah! Now for the fee. Ouch! Oh well. Got to pay it as running late for the appointment. Set off at a run, heart pounding. Arrive, after negotiating a trek along miles of cold draughty corridors. There are people waiting, far too many. There must be three for every slot, just in case somebody does not turn up. Sit down for a long wait. The hours tick by and so does the clock on the parking meter. You finally return to your car, hungry, thirsty, tired and feeling ill. Why else would you be there? You pay the extortionate fee and drive slowly home. This is the joy of a visit to the RBH. Somebody help us!</p>	<p>As per objection No.1.</p> <p>The proposed fees for the pay and display restrictions are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parks.</p>

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	<p>So to exacerbate this awful situation, you propose huge parking fees? Has it ever occurred to anybody that the RBH needs to move out of town with shuttle buses for transport and plenty of free parking. Do not penalise sick and weary patients for being ill. It is an utter disgrace. I recently had reason to visit Lister Hospital in Stevenage. What a difference! A clean multi storey car park, well lit, plenty of space, plenty of machines in convenient places, people to meet and greet as one walks through the main doors and clean, warm corridors, lovely lifts and delightful wards. Why are we going so wrong in Reading?</p>	
11	<p>The proposals for parking charges in and around the RBH is unfeeling, unkind, uncaring. In fact everything one would expect a hospital and council not to be. This is hitting vulnerable sick people and their carers already distressed by just having to attend a hospital appointment or being hospitalised. Not everyone can afford taxis although it could be cheaper than these parking fees. Many are too ill to wait and catch a bus. Where is the humanity in all of this. The personnel who are making these proposal, do they have vulnerable parents or friends or maybe even themselves who may one day need visit and spend time at the RBH. Please think what these proposals really mean to the most vulnerable people in our society.</p>	As per objection No.1.
12	<p>I would like to object to the new parking charges at The Royal Berks Hospital These charges are not fair to people have appointments yet have no idea how long it will take so incurring a penalty charge, making money out of the sick is unacceptable to any reasonable human being</p>	As per objection No.1.
13	<p>Charging to park at a hospital is a disgraceful thing to do hurting the most vulnerable at the worst time. Such charges impact the worst on the poorest too who have no control over whether they park there or not e.g. you have no choice in the matter. It is yet another tax on Joe Public and I can assure you we are all getting sick of it.</p>	As per objection No.1.
14	<p>I am one of many thousands of people who need to use the RBH on a regular basis and I wish to urge you to scrap the proposed changes to the parking around the hospital. There is a chronic shortage of parking at the site and the charges are already high enough! Imagine the added cost and therefore stress to relatives trying to bring comfort to loved ones in the hospital, especially those who may not even know whether they will make it home again! I myself have regular appointments at the hospital and allow 30 minutes to travel there from Tilehurst and another 30 minutes to find somewhere to park! Even so I have missed one appointment this year because I simply could not find somewhere to legally park having been looking around the area for an hour! A park and ride scheme was tried before from Madjeski stadium and was cancelled to save money. (Or so we were told then!) So a park and ride scheme is unlikely to suddenly work now. The Parking at the hospital is in desperate need of help what it does not need is to be treated as some type of cash cow to be milked, which is how the people who are forced to use feel that they are being treated. Please solve the problem, do not add to the misery.</p>	As per objection No.1.
15	<p>It is totally unacceptable to impose parking charges in the roads surrounding the RBH. Those visiting the hospital are often elderly (driven there by family members, friends or neighbours) and cannot afford such swingeing costs as proposed. Others are visitors who would find it too expensive to regularly visit loved ones especially if they too are pensioners. Some people are in hospital for many weeks with visitors vitally important</p>	As per objection No.1.

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	<p>to their well being. Young families too would find the cost prohibitive. I cannot believe that cars currently parking in these roads are causing a problem so therefore this would appear to be nothing more than a blatant means of raising funds to swell the council's funds. (Motorists in Reading are already penalised; I never shop there now since to do so adds to the cost of any purchases. Previously, I visited the town centre at least once a week but cannot remember the last time I went in to shop.) What about those on very low incomes (e.g. those on social benefits and the unemployed) having to visit the RBH? Also, young people e.g. students? Parking at the hospital is already stressful; firstly finding a slot (often without success) and then becoming increasingly anxious as appointments overrun. Patients cannot always just be dropped off to be collected later. Many require assistance so drivers will obviously need somewhere to park. I really do hope you will reconsider such a proposal so that patients and genuine hospital visitors are not penalised in this way.</p>	
16	<p>£3.10 for two hours is ridiculous! Factor in the added stress of delayed appointments and parking fines. Despite previous objections, I'm disgusted that the council seems intent on going ahead with the pay and display and that people visiting the hospital will have to pay such extortionate fees. Nevermind the students who work for nothing who won't be able to park on site during the day or on the road. To cave in to the demands of a few (ie the local residents) seems narrow minded when you consider how many people have to drive from far and wide to visit RBH. The high prices and lack of sufficient car park capacity at RBH forces visitors to use neighbouring roads. It works well enough now without any notable congestion/inconvenience, why change it for the very marginal benefit of locals - they knew the hospital was there when they moved there! I implore you to reconsider. Ploughing ahead with the current plans may bring you revenues, but you will have lost a lot of respect from the community and you will make people think twice about visiting RBH for outpatient appointments and visiting their sick friends and relatives.</p>	<p>As per objection No.1.</p> <p>The proposed fees for the pay and display sites are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities.</p>
17	<p>[Allcroft Road] It should be free to park for 2hrs only ANYTIME for the public to use and permit holder only for residents to park there anytime. Also no further extra parking should be made on this road as it will ruin the beauty of this road. No pay as you go on this road.</p>	<p>The type of waiting restrictions proposed for Allcroft Road formed part of a previous phase of consultation and did not form part of this consultation.</p>
18	<p>I would urge you to reconsider the proposed raising of car park charges at the Royal Berks Hospital. I have a number of health issues which necessitate regular visits to RBH, and the cost is prohibitively expensive. Unfortunately I am unable to use public transport to visit the hospital, as the jolting on the bus can cause my back to go into spasm, so I have to use my car and parking is already expensive enough. Up until now, for shorter appointments I could sometimes use on-street parking for up to 2 hours, when the car park is full (which is a regular occurrence). However, not only are the council planning to introduce on-street parking charges, but now proposing to raise the car park charges as well! I know that budget cuts across the board mean that councils are struggling to balance the books, but please don't punish those who are suffering from ill health and, in some cases crippling pain to do this. Please do think carefully at the all round picture, and not just how to raise much needed funds.</p>	<p>As per objection No.1.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is not responsible for the charges levied by the Royal Berkshire Hospital for use of their off-street parking facilities. The proposed fees for the pay and display sites on the Highway are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital.</p>
19	<p>I think it's absolutely disgusting that the council are going to start charging for parking around the RBH, parking is a night mare as it is. I think a bigger car park is needed.</p>	<p>As per objection No.1.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is aware that the Royal Berkshire</p>

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
		Hospital is reviewing their parking facilities.
20	I gather from the action group opposing the proposed changes to parking in the RBH area. New proposals and charges have been made. I think that the proposals to levy charges on parking in the area is simple cash making exercise. Pressure on parking near to the Hospital is going to ensure that RBC is going to make substantial income from these charges. No doubt penalty charges exacted from those who overstay their time will add to this income. Parking in the Hospital is often difficult and appointments often over run their time exacerbating the situation. Unfortunately public transport only partially solves the problem. Journeys from the outer parts of Reading inevitably involve one or more changes adding to the journey time and making journey planning very difficult. It has long been accepted that RBC doesn't like the car, unfortunately it is here to stay. Constantly punishing car users is stupid and a continuing example of the Councils lack of imagination and creativity.	As per objection No.1.
21	I totally object to the proposed parking restrictions and pay and display around the roads near the Royal Berkshire Hospital. Absolutely scandalous proposals, penalising not only vulnerable patients, patients relatives but hard working staff.	As per objection No.1.
22	I object towards any pay-as-you-go parking round the hospital. We would like Allcroft Road to be 2hr limit parking only from 8am to 8pm then after 8pm it should be able to park without limit.	As per objection No.1.  The type of waiting restrictions proposed for Allcroft Road formed part of a previous phase of consultation and did not form part of this consultation.
23	I am writing in regards to the proposed pay and display changes to numerous roads around the Royal Berkshire Hospital. I do not understand why these changes are being made; the only reason I can see for this being done is for the council to make money out of people ie. Visitors and staff of the hospital. I think it's absolutely disgusting that the council is taking advantage of these people who are now going to be made to pay your overpriced parking charges. What happens to hospital staff who cannot get parking on hospital premises because of lack of parking? They will have to pay for a whole days parking which will probably equate to their daily wage, if not more. What happens to the visitors of the hospital who, again cannot use hospital parking due to the lack of spaces, park there and their appointment runs late so they go over what they paid and displayed? The elderly? The sick? The disabled? The council is supposed to work to improve the borough for its population. I really hope you reconsider your proposals.	As per objection No.1.  The proposed fees for the pay and display sites are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities.
24	I would like to voice my objection at these proposed parking charges. It never ceases to amaze me how greedy councils get in times of hardship. You forget that a trip to the hospital is not for shopping or pleasure, it is a necessity. With appointments very rarely sticking to their times, not only does the patient / carer have to worry about why they are in hospital but also whether they will be given a ticket or not. Over the years I I have noted that traffic wardens prey on hospital users, medical centers or mosques on a Friday, just to get their numbers up. Before implementing such costs, just imagine - it could be your father, your mother, your grandad, your gran, your son, your daughter, your lifelong partner, your friend that needs urgent treatment and it is your greed making money from patients. I just hope that the relevant council people can live comfortably with the decisions they make. No one stays young forever and you too will, at some point, need hospital services. Do not implement these charges.	As per objection No.1.  The proposed fees for the pay and display sites are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities.

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
25	I object to the proposed pay and display charges.	The objector provided no comments regarding the reasons for the objection nor proposed amendments, so Officers are unable to provide comment or a recommendation.
26	I am a volunteer driver and currently do not have to pay for parking. However I think the proposed charges are disgusting. It's very difficult to know how long you will need to park. I have been anything from 1 to 10 hours. How do you know how much to pay when you have no idea how long is needed. A few years ago when my mum was ill and in rbh for 3 weeks we must have paid over £300 between myself and 2 sisters. We were lucky that we could afford to pay but lots of people can't. Parking charges in Reading are high. Personally I prefer to use Newbury. Keeping charges high will negatively effect local shops which is not good for local economy.	As per objection No.1.  The proposed fees for the pay and display sites are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities.
27	As an occasional patient and visitor to Royal Berkshire Hospital in Reading, I am disgusted that you are removing a lot of the free parking in the roads around the hospital and replacing it with an expensive Pay and Display system. This is extremely inconsiderate to the hundred of out-patients and visitors who use the hospital on a daily basis.	As per objection No.1.
28	I am objecting to the proposed parking charges on roads around or near the Royal Berkshire Hospital. With delayed appointments and probable delays in the hospital I feel as a pensioner that I would be unable to afford the costs of cumulative and probable fines for parking beyond the time limit. The main hospital car park has inadequate spaces and as a resident in the North of Reading there is no park and ride. Many of my hospital appointments are around 10 am. There is no concessionary fares on Reading buses at that hour of the morning to get me to the RBH. And please do not blame the Government as you as council should run your budgets just like any business.	As per objection No.1.
29	I object for reading borough council proposal for pay & display near RBH & the surroundings. Paying £3.10 for 2 hours parking is ridiculous & is not fair at all.	As per objection No.1.  The proposed fees for the pay and display sites are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities.
30	I just want to let you know what a hateful and mean spirited bunch of people you really are. Implementing moneymaking parking charges around a hospital specifically targeting people who are often sick or distressed or both, just because you 'allegedly' bowed to resident pressure. Not to mention the penalty to low paid hospital staff such as students and healthcare workers. I have always said Reading council are anti-motorist and this really does rubber-stamp my view. I have lived in this area for 16 years and I can guarantee you that I will soon retire away from this area to somewhere that is run by a council that is more focussed on creating a pleasant environment for its visitors and motorists.	As per objection No.1.
31	I am writing to object the decision to put parking meters around the Royal Berkshire Hospital. My son is disabled and has regular appointments at the [REMOVED]. If we get a morning appointment we leave very early and have breakfast at the hospital just so that I can manage to park my car, this week we left at 7.30 for a 9.30 appointment from Tilehurst. Next week I am dreading as we have a 3.15 appointment [REMOVED], I know there will be nowhere in the carpark for us and will therefore have to park in Redlands Road. My son has a blue badge but this will make no difference. I am worried	As per objection No.1.  Blue badge holders are exempt from pay & display charges and maximum stay periods when their valid badge is clearly displayed in the vehicle.

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	that the parking meters are going to make things much worse for us, are blue badge users exempt from the charges? We have been ticketed for parking on the road before. We have no choice but to attend these appointments and it is crazy that I should have to drive around for hours to look for somewhere to put the car, parking meters in these roads is going to add stress to an already stressful situation. You have made the proposals as you claim they are in the interest of safety, there will still be the same number of cars but of course there will be a huge income to the council in parking charges and parking fines. If the Council had given us a health centre at the west end of the town as they promised when they sold the land from the old Battle Hospital site, the whole of Reading and beyond would not be looking to park around the Royal Berkshire Hospital.	
32	I STRONGLY object to the proposal for a Pay & Display @ £3.10 per 2 hours on the roads at and around the RBH! It's stressful enough without this imposed restriction from Reading Council. When you visit the hospital on an out patients appointment you have NO IDEA how long you will there and one will become VERY anxious if there is a worry that the parking meter is about to run out and you CAN'T leave the hospital to rectify it.	As per objection No.1.
33	I strongly object to the proposed parking charges around the Royal Berkshire Hospital. I have spent the past 9 years taking 3 elderly relatives to th RBH for various different reasons. It is no mean feat taking elderly mystified people for appointments at the hospital, apart from their concern regarding their problems they then have the added stress of worrying about parking and having enough change and getting to their appointment on time. When visiting hospital I could be in and out in an hour or there for hours. I can't see the value to the public of slapping on a charge of 3.10 for two hours. There are only a few parking areas that are available to park on the street and it's always a relief if i manage to find one as I have probably gone round the car park a dozen times and am getting rather frantic. It must also be a relief to be able to park for some members of the public who may not have the payment available at that moment.	As per objection No.1.  Ringo is available as an alternative payment method.
34	I strongly request not to bring pay and display charges near royal berkshire hospital. Its really stressful and parking charges will add further. I am raising objections against decision of reading council to introduce charges for on road parking and request to review and block this decision.	As per objection No.1.
35	As a local resident, I object to the charges being made in Pepper Lane as these are all students parking. They cannot possibly afford to pay these charges 5 days a week so they will look further afield to park for free. The next place to park is Harcourt Drive/Falstaff Avenue off Pepper Lane. This is already happening and causing problems to residents entrances and parking on corners causing drivers to drive on the wrong side of the road blind. This is just making a BIGGER PROBLEM in another area.	The type of waiting restrictions proposed for Pepper Lane formed part of a previous phase of consultation and did not form part of this consultation.
36	Please can i register my objection to the proposed changes to the parking on the roads around the Royal Berkshire Hospital. I currently work for the Royal Berkshire Hospital. I do not qualify for a hospital staff parking permit. Due to my shift time and proximity to a bus stop I am unable to take the bus. Leaving me with one option, to drive in and park on the surrounding roads. I have looked at the proposed changes to the parking rules carefully and they are very unclear and confusing. If I am struggling to understand they changes, as someone who knows the roads around that area well, I can only imagine how confusing they will be to a stressed family member going to see their sick	As per objection No.1.  Parking provision and permit charges for staff is the responsibility of the employer. There is no proposal to provide spaces on the Highway specifically for staff to park and this is not legally possible within the current central government regulations.

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	<p>relative. I park on many of the surrounding roads, for example, Erleigh road, Addington road, Alexandra road, Donnington road, Donnington gardens, Redlands road, basically anywhere which there is a space. I have to leave much earlier than needed just to be able to park and then walk 10,15 sometimes 20 minutes to work. The parking is already bad around the area and these proposed changes will make things much worse. My main concern is that I will be left with nowhere to park. Meaning that I am unable to get to work. I do not know what roads, if any, will be left for me to park on for free or how I can afford to pay £10 a day to park my car on the roads listed in schedule 9/10. To me it just looks like the roads will either be pay and display, or permit holders or residents only, when some residents have driveways to park on. Will there be any provisioning for staff parking? Just imagine the effect on the hospital if 300 staff said that they can't come in today as they cant get parked. No body needs this added stress of not being able to park on top of everything going on in their lives. This is just another way of the council making money and not thinking about the thousands of hard up people that this effects. I sincerely hope that you reconsider this proposal, without first majorly improving the current car park situation. I think its disgusting that staff have to pay for a parking permit, if they even qualify, only for their not be spaces, and now you're changing the surrounding roads as well. It's a change that will negatively affect thousands and thousands of people and will benefit very few.</p>	
37	<p>Given the nature of the work carried out at hospitals, the delays that are often encountered whilst being treated and the age / mobility of some patients and then considering the often lower paid staff who work there and need to park somewhere. The parking charges being proposed are just another example of local authorities caring little for the communities that they are supposed to represent. Please reconsider actually doing something good for the community instead of simply raising more and more revenue to the detriment of some of societies most needed and its most vulnerable people.</p>	As per objection No.1.
38	<p>It is an outrage that parking charges for people in or visiting hospital should have to pay for parking in the first place. The imposition of additional charges are a criminal exploitation of the sick and poor people of Reading. I watch with interest how you plans progress, but feel assured that most of YOUR citizens oppose your planned increases.</p>	As per objection No.1.
39	<p>I cannot believe you are considering charging for the parking around the hospital - why would you penalise people attending appointments or family and friends visiting loved ones? I am appalled - please think again!</p>	As per objection No.1.
40	<p>Parking is a major problem at RBH. People visiting the hospital are in a position of need and often desperation. It is impossible to know in advance how long an appointment at the hospital will take and how long it will be delayed, so patients cannot know in advance how long a parking period to pay for. Having to worry about time running out, and incurring fines can only increase distress. In addition patients and visitors may have to visit the hospital many times in a short period of time, so the proposed extortionate charges will cause untold hardship. I urge you to reconsider and take proper account of the implications on the users of the hospital of the changes you are proposing to make. Local parking problems could be eased if the council wee to allow an expansion to the on site parking at the hospital, for example by adding storey(s) to the existing multi-storey car park, to which, I understand, the council is objecting. This is a serious matter requiring careful and complete consideration</p>	<p>As per objection No.1.</p> <p>The proposed fees for the pay and display sites are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities.</p>

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
41	Your proposal to charge parking fees is understandable. However to charge exorbitantly is grossly unfair. You are treating your hospital parking like gasoline, an easy money spinner, where the needs of visitors, patients and staff are secondary. Shameful indeed.	The proposed fees for the pay and display sites are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities. This ensures that visitors are neither being encouraged, nor discouraged, from using either facility.
42	I wish to voice my objections to the proposed parking charges around the Royal Berkshire Hospital. I fear that they will have a disproportionately harsh effect on the less well off people, especially students who work at the hospital and the elderly, who generally visit the hospital more frequently than other age groups.	As per objection No.1.
43	I object to the proposed parking plan.	The objector provided no comments regarding the reasons for the objection nor proposed amendments, so Officers are unable to provide comment or a recommendation.
44	I object to parking charges on the roads around the hospital because:- Hospital staff/ students have poor pay and work long stressful hours. Visitors already find parking hard this charge adds further stress at a hard time. I used to work in the hospital, if these charges are made staff will find it hard to get to work and leave. Result patient numbers up and staff numbers down result queues and poor health care.	As per objection No.1.
45	I write to object strongly to the pay and display charges which are planned for implementation around the area of the Royal Berkshire Hospital. There is insufficient parking in the hospital car park not only for patients and visitors, but also for staff, therefore it only remains for people to park on the roads. The idea of putting 'Pay and Display' meters charging £3.20 for 2 hours parking will not stop people parking in residential areas and it is only the Council who will gain at the expense sick people or their carers/visitors. Many people have to drive or be driven because they are too unwell to travel by bus and for many the option of taking a taxi is out of the question due to the cost. The meters will give added stress to people who are already in a stressful situation. For example, when someone is receiving treatment in the hospital and their appointment lasts longer than the expected time? .. It's unlikely they can dash out and put some more money in the meter. This just isn't right and totally unfair.	As per objection No.1.
46	To be honest... I lived on the road in. Question till vwry recently and even now, I rely on ot for my [REMOVED] visits. Just why ON EARTH are you suddenly charging??? Wheb I lived on Addington Road...on road parking was the ONLY option available to me, as a bloody resident!!!! Let alone of my relatives wanted to visit!!! And as referenced, even now, for a vital [REMOVED] check up, it's the only place to park up for an hour. Even if I could afford it...the hospital carpark is always full anyway, BUT you already know that! These proposals are tantamount to criminal and so out of order. It won't reduce traffic, but only.make local residents even poorer, which os so great for the local economy. I mean...seriously? Come on.	As per objection No.1.  <i>Note: Some identifying (personal) information has been removed from the objection.</i>
47	I am not a regular user of the hospital at the moment, unlike other unlucky people, but I think to penalise sick people in this way is monstrous. It is nightmare enough anyway simply finding a space for a limited period, or trying to find and (expensive) space in the multi storey, without having to pay for the "privilege". Obviously the residents are complaining, understandably, but I fail to understand how charging £3.10 will be of any help to them, it will simply put more money into RBC's coffers. The parking will still happen anyway because there are few other options, so residents will still be unhappy.	As per objection No.1.

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	The whole scheme should be re-thought, the concept of charging dropped, and efforts re-doubled to find additional parking options for this ultra busy hospital.	
48	This latest set of proposals, which I have no doubt will be passed by this avaricious, profligate council, is a disgrace. No account is taken of the difficulties in parking at the hospital, which was build without proper provision of adequate parking. The needs of patients is bing ignored in the pursuit of evermore revenue from any fatuous source. This is just another example of Reading Borough Council's blatant disregard of the wishes of the people and the farce of it's 'consultations'. This decision has already been made regardless of any objections. Democracy, I think not.	As per objection No.1.
49	I would like to lodge my objections to the introduction of parking charges around The Royal Berkshire Hospital. Going into hospital is stressful. I recently had a short stay at RBH and I can remember not wanting to make people try and use the hospital car park as it is so stressful looking for spaces and I was worried about the cost for people and didn't want them to be out of pocket. That was fine as I was only in for a couple nights, but imagine how isolating that will be for someone staying in for a longer period of time. I also felt I needed to take cash into hospital so that I could repay people for their parking, if they did come (this was actually stolen from my purse while I was recovering on the ward from surgery!) Adding charges onto the street parking is only going to make the situation in the hospital car park even worse. I have seen people have a fight over a car parking space! It is also adding a financial pressure on people who are already in a stressful situation. I have also spent time with my mother who has had several stays in the hospital, and as she has dementia she needs lots of support from us while she is there. The thought of people having to pay to see their loved ones at their most vulnerable time is actually quite shocking. There will be many people who can't afford that extra expense. On top of which there is no way of actually knowing how long one is going to be in the hospital for, whether visiting or going in for an appointment as clinics and appointment times are often running very late. So then people are being charged for hospital inadequacies. It will be impossible to know how long to pay for, and then the added stress worrying about running over the parking time, on top of the stressful situation sounds just awful. Please reconsider. This is simply wrong. It shows a lack of compassion. It is an awful thing to do to people.	As per objection No.1.
50	I would like to strongly oppose the introduction of charges for parking in Redlands Road and the surrounding areas. I frequently have to take my husband to the Royal Berkshire Hospital and because he has a Blue Badge and cannot walk very far I often have to drop him off at the entrance because there aren't any parking spaces left and then try to find somewhere in Redlands Road to park. The hassle of finding parking spaces is bad enough without having to pay to park on the road. We are both senior citizens and on a limited pension and already pay for road tax without having to pay extra to park on the roads.	As per objection No.1.  Blue badge holders are exempt from pay & display charges and maximum stay periods when their valid badge is clearly displayed in the vehicle.
51	As a volunteer driver for [REMOVED], I frequently take patients to the Royal Berkshire Hospital for outpatients appointments. Some of the departments are not best served from the Royal Berkshire Hospital multi storey car park e.g. ENT and Radiotherapy. The London Road car park is normally full. Without the advantage of a blue badge for some patients the only alternative, having dropped the patient near the department, for a volunteer driver, is to try to find a car parking space on the nearby roads. Even the current two hour parking restriction limit can be stressful when patients have to spend	As per objection No.1.  The proposed fees for the pay and display sites are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities.

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	more than two hours waiting for and having treatment or consultations. Unless car parking provision can be made for volunteer drivers, I fear fewer people will be able to undertake the commitment of helping our older and infirm citizens to attend medical appointments. £3.10 for 2 hours parking is an excessive amount to pay when undertaking voluntary work.	
52	Please accept this as my strong objection to the opposed planning proposal. It is nothing more than a money making scheme with no benefit at all to the general public. Those of that as lone parents opt to work rather than claim benefits barely making ends meet, ill and their family/support network using the hospital and Students/volunteers. I assume you all have parking provisions free to enable you to do your role in these fantastic decision making process.	As per objection No.1.  Waiting restrictions on the Highway apply to all users, including Council officers. There is no special provision that provides blanket exemption to Council officers.
53	This is just another item for Rip Off Britain. The planning for car parking at RBH was and still is diabolical.	The objector provided no comments regarding the reasons for the objection nor proposed amendments, so Officers are unable to provide comment or a recommendation.
54	I am aware of the latest proposals under reference NM/JC-CMS/5543 and wish to register my profound objections. The council should be attempting to improve the parking conditions for the daily flood of visitors to the hospital. Instead it seems determined to make things worse. How on earth the highway department can suppose that a Pay and Display system charging £3.10 for two hours will work, given that hundreds of daily visitors are unable to judge their length of stay in advance is simply beyond me. I personally am fortunate in not currently being a regular visitor to RBH but, on a recent one-off visit, was dramatically reminded of how difficult the parking situation already is. Is it really impossible for the council officials to apply some imagination and come up with constructive proposals - perhaps literally - to help alleviate the problems instead of aggravating them?	As per objection No.1.
55	I am writing to voice my objection to the proposed parking proposals. These changes would cause considerable distress to anyone visiting the hospital. The hospital car park simply cannot cope with the amount of people who need to use it and therefore people are forced to find parking on the surrounding roads. People with outpatients appointments or people visiting sick relatives will be caused considerable difficulty if these proposals come into force. I would urge you to reconsider these proposals and also to consider providing a reliable 'park and ride' scheme which would relieve the pressure on the car park and the roads around the hospital.	As per objection No.1.  Reading Borough Council is aware that the Royal Berkshire Hospital is reviewing their parking facilities and engaging with local bus operators with the aim of introducing schemes that will assist with the current parking difficulties on their site.
56	I was horrified to read about the proposed parking charges near the Royal Berkshire Hospital. I go there regularly with various patients as a volunteer driver, as well as for myself and my husband from time to time. We park on the road as I have given up trying to get into the hospital car park - it is just too stressful. The car park is not big enough and therefore many people have to park on the road. These parking charges - are they to deter people from parking there? Or are they to raise money? £3.10 for 2 hours is a lot of money for some of us. Sometimes one is at the hospital for more than 2 hours, though usually it is less, but one does not know how long it will be until one is in there! It can be a very stressful time going to hospital; this is adding to the stress for a great number of people. Please reconsider this cruel plan.	As per objection No.1.  Reading Borough Council is aware that the Royal Berkshire Hospital is reviewing their parking facilities and engaging with local bus operators with the aim of introducing schemes that will assist with the current parking difficulties on their site.  The proposed fees for the pay and display sites are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities. This ensures that visitors are neither being encouraged, nor discouraged, from using either facility.

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
57	Please reconsider the charge increase for the hospital car park. You don't have enough spaces for parking now so how can you warrant increasing the price. It is stressful enough trying to park without the added charges. My husband had to have injections in his foot and this procedure can only be carried out at the RBH. He has decided not to have the treatment as we have never been able to get a parking space.	Reading Borough Council is not responsible for the charges levied by the Royal Berkshire Hospital for use of their off-street parking facilities. This consultation applies only to the Highway.
58	You really DO have to support the hospital with easier parking. Last year, I had to take my husband to radiotherapy every day for 6 weeks, and we had to allow an extra half hour just to find (or wait for) a parking space. Even though we had a blue badge, it didn't solve the problem - and in any case we didn't get the blue badge until AFTER his cancer had been diagnosed, which followed around 10 visits to the main hospital car park at full charge. My husband died in January. Reading has a leading and important hospital - in all respects but one. THE PARKING! It is incumbent upon those in authority to support the hospital and those who use it. To charge patients, their visitors and carers is a disgrace. To fail to supply sufficient spaces is another disgrace.	As per objection No.1.  Blue badge holders are exempt from pay & display charges and maximum stay periods when their valid badge is clearly displayed in the vehicle.  Reading Borough Council is aware that the Royal Berkshire Hospital is reviewing their parking facilities and engaging with local bus operators with the aim of introducing schemes that will assist with the current parking difficulties on their site.
59	I think that the new parking proposals round Royal Berks Hospital are not sensible. You are now keeping people out of Reading and discouraging workers and volunteers from working at RBH. I will certainly avoid accepting any appointments at RBH in future.	As per objection No.1.
60	I wish to object to the proposed road parking charges near to the Royal Berkshire Hospital. Parking at the RBH is horrendous for both patients, their relatives & staff. The multi-storey carpark is not big enough to accommodate the numbers of cars required. Roads around the RBH should be kept for patients, relatives & staff to be able to park for both short-term & long term. I know of staff who have not been able to secure a permit who will leave their jobs if this proposal goes ahead. We are facing a staffing crisis at the hospital & this will add fuel to it. The hospital is there for all of us, it is crucial that that patients, relatives & staff are not put through additional stresses than they already face. Please reconsider your road charging proposal	As per objection No.1.  Reading Borough Council is aware that the Royal Berkshire Hospital is reviewing their parking facilities and engaging with local bus operators with the aim of introducing schemes that will assist with the current parking difficulties on their site.
61	Absolutely against charging for parking on the roads close to the RBH. It is almost impossible to get a parking permit as a member of staff and this has to be renewed every year, so there is a risk for workers of not having a parking permit. If we cannot park in the near roads it will be impossible for us to go to work. Really thinking of moving to another Trust seeing the way we are being treated here.	As per objection No.1.
62	I most strongly protest against the proposed parking tariffs concerning the Royal Berkshire Hospital. This is targeting the weakest and most vulnerable in our society. To milk the sick and elderly people and their relatives is inhuman and should be abandoned. It is difficult enough to try to get to an appointment at the hospital. I am a carer for my elderly parents who are both disabled, and I often have to drive around the hospital numerous times before I can park and get him/her to their appointment. There are insufficient disabled parking spaces when oddly enough a lot of patients are disabled! Public transport is not an option! I hope the council has plans to accommodate people like my parents I am considering a letter to our MP to try and stop this terrible idea.	As per objection No.1.  Blue badge holders are exempt from pay & display charges and maximum stay periods when their valid badge is clearly displayed in the vehicle.
63	The Royal Berks Hospital serves West Berks area not just Reading residents It is quite	As per objection No.1.

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	unrealistic to expect people, possibly ill, to travel in by bus from outlying areas some of which now have no or virtually nil bus service anyway. The lack of spaces around the RBH has been brought about by the councils own policies in not allowing another new car park to be built in the past. Introducing on street charges around the RBH for people at their most vulnerable time is iniquitous and I strongly object.	
64	I am sending this email objecting on Reading Council's proposal to implement parking charges around Royal Berkshire Hospital. Parking on Reading streets is already one of the most expensive compared to similar towns. Even in many London streets you can park free after 6 pm but not so in Reading. The main issue however is parking fines for patients whose appointments are delayed or take longer than envisaged. The Council should pay attention to this as you are not dealing with shoppers here.	As per objection No.1.
65	I am disgusted at the proposals of the new pay and display parking at the Royal Berkshire hospital. I have had to go to the hospital a lot over the last couple of years and a few appointments have run late. If I would have had to go and use a pay and display meter I would have lost my appointments. It will put undue stress on patients, visitors and staff if they are having to worry about this as well as being ill etc. The rate is ridiculously expensive that you are proposing and I am wondering where my council tax is going if I can not park at a hospital for free! I am getting the feeling this is a money making exercise for Reading Borough council and am extremely disappointed at this. Shocking behaviour from my council after so many people from your council have objected to this.	As per objection No.1.  The proposed fees for the pay and display sites are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities.
66	We are writing to say how upset we are at the prospect of you charging for parking in the rounds around the RBH which at the moment are free to park in. Why do you feel a need to change the current situation, it works well and harms no-one. This adds additional stress for visitors to patients at the RBH because of the worry of overstaying their time paid - the RBH is renowned amongst most hospitals for having extended delays to appointments. What about people who are visiting on a daily basis due to the patient's very serious illness or recovery time - it will cost far too much. My sister was recently in the RBH for an extended period and it was invaluable being able to park in these side roads.	As per objection No.1.
67	I have in the past 15 years had to take my husband to various appointments at the Hospital, like so many others I find that trying to find a Parking Place a nightmare, although on our last appointment it was not too difficult to our surprise. I drive in from [REMOVED] we could take a bus but as my husband has poor vision from Glaucoma (not detected in time after a Cataract OP at RBH), he gets too worried he may have a fall when using a bus. I am not a youngster so every visit adds to my strain of caring for a rather elderly husband. You never know how long you may have to wait for the appointment. This is especially true of the Eye Clinic. It is like a musical chair contest. I do not know how you can solve the parking but it is an added strain on people coming to the hospital.	As per objection No.1.  <i>Note: Some identifying (personal) information has been removed from the objection.</i>
68	I'm shocked and disgusted that the council appear to be going ahead with the pay and display parking around the hospital area. I am a member of staff at the RBH and I park on the road, as do many of my colleagues who are unable to obtain parking permits for the hospital car park or have permits but are unable to find a space. I have already objected to this action once as did thousands of others. I can't believe that all of these objections have been ignored! I believe this action has been brought on by the residents' complaints, which I think are totally selfish and unreasonable! The council of course see	As per objection No.1.  Reading Borough Council is aware that the Royal Berkshire Hospital is reviewing their parking facilities and engaging with local bus operators with the aim of introducing schemes that will assist with the current parking difficulties on their site.

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	a money making scheme to be had here and I'm sure this is the reason why thousands of complaints are being ignored. It's disgusting! The hospital are extremely limited for space and have already been turned down permission to extend parking do what are staff and patients to do!?	
69	Please don't change parking fees, it's for public convenience and not for money making. Sick people and their families have enough worries, no need to add another one.	As per objection No.1.
70	I wish to express my objection to the proposed council parking charges around the Royal Berks Hospital, I am one of many thousands that have had to use the on road parking facilities around the hospital whilst dropping off my late father for daily chemo treatment during the past few years and also my 82 year old mother for numerous health issues including broken bone follow up appointments and visiting after major surgery, I found on road parking bays sufficient for our needs and so long as they are policed properly to ensure no over staying there should be no need to start charging already over stressed patients and relatives for parking for two hours	As per objection No.1.
71	I use the Outpatient Service for fairly regular appointments and am appalled at the scale of fees you are planning. Please reconsider this plan.	As per objection No.1.  The proposed fees for the pay and display sites are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities. This ensures that visitors are neither being encouraged, nor discouraged, from using either facility.
72	I have just been made aware of the first document which contains the proposed pay and display charges at the RBH. £3.10 for two hours! What sort of people are you? It beggars belief that you see the sick & vulnerable as a cash cow! The stress of illness, waiting around & dealing with delayed appointments is bad enough but you then propose to compound this stress with parking fines. I'm disgusted that the council is going ahead with the pay and display and that people visiting the hospital will have to pay such extortionate fees. Also, there is no consideration for volunteers & the students who work for nothing who won't be able to park on site or on the road during the day. I'm sure you'd be grateful of their good will were you or a loved one to be ill, Shame on you!	As per objection No.1.  The proposed fees for the pay and display sites are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities. This ensures that visitors are neither being encouraged, nor discouraged, from using either facility.  Blue badge holders are exempt from pay & display charges and maximum stay periods when their valid badge is clearly displayed in the vehicle.
73	All parking near surgeries are free for 2 hours. It's really mean not to give this facility near such a major hospital and school area. This is really unethical. There are different ways to make money and we all pay taxes, NI, etc!!! Really sad, angry and disappointed to hear about the £3.00 charge. Obviously charges brought about by somebody rich enough who can't empathise.	As per objection No.1.  The proposed fees for the pay and display sites are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities. This ensures that visitors are neither being encouraged, nor discouraged, from using either facility.  Blue badge holders are exempt from pay & display charges and maximum stay periods when their valid badge is clearly displayed in the vehicle.
74	I would like to voice my concern regarding the proposed action to charge to park on the road. We all know that due to the growth of Reading the RBH is now situated in the	As per objection No.1.

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	<p>wrong place. The car park is badly designed and 90% of the time difficult to get parked. Depending on where you live in Reading often means getting two buses each way which can be very difficult when you are ill or receiving treatment. And bussing is the last thing you want to do when receiving treatment. I am not completely against charging but against the timing and risk of fines. Having had cancer twice and a regular visitor to the hospital I can guarantee that you can not estimate how long you have to wait in clinic. I have sometimes had to park in Morgan Rd in the 2 hrs parking slot and had to leave the clinic to try and park somewhere else, and have received a parking ticket when I have been unable to get back to the car in time. Please rethink this proposal.</p>	<p>Reading Borough Council is aware that the Royal Berkshire Hospital is reviewing their parking facilities and engaging with local bus operators with the aim of introducing schemes that will assist with the current parking difficulties on their site.</p>
75	<p>I am opposed to these charges in their present form. You should liaise with the hospital to come up with a simple system that allows all patients to have a permit for the day/ time of their visit. Better advertised and more regular dedicated bus service would also help to reduce car use, perhaps linked to park/ride for those on the edges of Reading.</p>	<p>Reading Borough Council is aware that the Royal Berkshire Hospital is reviewing their parking facilities and engaging with local bus operators with the aim of introducing schemes that will assist with the current parking difficulties on their site.</p> <p>The proposed fees for the pay and display sites are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities. This ensures that visitors are neither being encouraged, nor discouraged, from using either facility.</p>
76	<p>We have lived in Addington Road for [REMOVED] and never had a problem with people parking in our road. We do not want, but sympathise with, the Council's motive for introducing pay and display which is purely a financial one. It clearly will not benefit the local population at all. What we do object to is the introduction of residents only parking outside of these payment hours. There is absolutely no need for this in our part of the road (we are west of Alexandra Road). In the evenings and especially at weekends our road is really quite empty as does not require, nor need, this extra level of control. If you really feel that you have to introduce the pay and display scheme then please just leave it at that 8 - 5.30 Monday to Friday and nothing more.</p>	<p>The type of waiting restrictions proposed for Addington Road, west of its junction with Alexandra Road, formed part of a previous phase of consultation and did not form part of this consultation.</p>
77	<p>As a longterm resident of the west end of Addington Road, I would like to express my disquiet at the proposal to charge for and restrict the parking along our road. The parked cars serve to limit the speed of the drivers in the area. The street is never full in the evenings and at the weekends. I have heard much of the problems in the side streets, but they are not coming to park west of Alexandra. What makes the council think that they will after the restrictions are implemented? We will be inconvenienced by the enforced change of cars every two hours. Those of you walking along the section of Alexandra Road between Addington and Erleigh will have noted the destruction that is starting to occur as front gardens are dug up. Lastly, I am sure that the needs of the mosque are being considered but they are going to be greatly inconvenienced during the evenings and especially during Ramadan. They park, with consideration, along Addington at these times. Please do not use us as a source of easy money and a facility for those who rarely use the parking spaces, even when they state that they have none on their own streets.</p>	<p>The type of waiting restrictions proposed for Addington Road, west of its junction with Alexandra Road, formed part of a previous phase of consultation and did not form part of this consultation.</p> <p>The Hospital and University Area Study covers a wide area and consideration has been made of the likely impact to parking in the wider area, should changes to waiting restrictions be introduced in the immediate vicinity of the hospital. The proposals that have been consulted in this phase are a direct result of parking concerns that have been raised in the wider area.</p>
78	<p>New parking idea is great. It should have sorted out lots of parking issues in the area. Hope to see it in place soon.</p>	<p>Supports the scheme.</p>

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
79	Just a quick comment on this scheme. It doesn't seem sensible to consider this part of Reading in isolation. There are a lot of student/hmo houses in this area and restricting to 2 permits per house is going to push a lot of parking into neighbouring streets.	We understand these concerns and this phase of consultation was developed from specific concerns that were raised following the initial phases of consultation. It is difficult to obtain a consensus of opinion in such a wide area and there is a need to eventually create a scheme boundary to ensure that the benefits of a scheme can start to be delivered. We have not been made aware of the specific concerns of the writer, but there are, and will continue to be, mechanisms to address future parking issues that have come about through displacement of parking or developments/changes in the local area. There have already been outline area-wide plans developed and reported through the Traffic Management Sub-Committee in parts of Reading, which include changes to waiting restrictions.
80	We would like to register our strong feelings <b>IN FAVOUR OF</b> a permit parking scheme being introduced as soon as possible. The number of drivers that park on Foxhill Road (and Cardigan Road) that are not residents (or even visiting residents) is getting out of hand. As spaces are often few and far between, residents feel forced to attempt to squeeze into impossible spaces which has led to our car being damaged on more than one occasion - it is our belief that a permit scheme would alleviate the pressure and make for a much calmer road with more spaces for residents.	Supports the scheme.
81	I'm writing regarding schedule 7 of the parking changes and I object to this change. I work at Redlands Primary School and live in Southcote, I need my car to drive to work and need to park somewhere. If this change happens I won't be able to work in a reading borough council school. I suggest that permits are given to staff at the school that needs them as a minimum requirement.	The school can apply for discretionary permits.
82	I can understand the need to get revenue for car parks as people abuse the roads nearby and park all day or commute to London. I am very upset about the charges and the lack of parking facilities in a round the Royal Berkshire Hospital. It is very stressful when you have an appointment at one of the clinics with the added stress of finding a parking space and not finding one and not even in the two hour bays. I have a mobility problem but it is not severe enough to get a disability badge so parking away from the car park is challenging for me. I had a routine blood test yesterday and I drove round and round trying to find a space as the carpark was full, I was fortunate to get one in the end but it took 20 minutes to walk to the hospital I was in the path lab three quarters of an hour and then had 15 minutes to get back to the car all of which is stressful. there must be another way this can be tackled , also putting up the charges is disgraceful when you know people have to use it , what if you are with dying relative surely they can't come out to a parking ticket ? and that's if there is a space in the first place . Please think carefully before doing this.	As per objection No.1.  Reading Borough Council is aware that the Royal Berkshire Hospital is reviewing their parking facilities and engaging with local bus operators with the aim of introducing schemes that will assist with the current parking difficulties on their site.  Reading Borough Council is not responsible for the charges levied by the Royal Berkshire Hospital for use of their off-street parking facilities. This consultation applies only to the Highway. The proposed fees for the pay and display sites on the Highway are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities. This ensures that visitors are neither being encouraged, nor discouraged, from using either facility.
83	My understanding of the changes is that parking on any roads listed in section 2 and 3 will be prohibited. Forcing underpaid staff who work at the hospital or patients to pay for parking on any roads listed in section 9 / 10 and for a maximum of 2 hours on the roads in section 8. I think that this is outrageous as there are currently not enough	As per objection No.1.  Reading Borough Council is aware that the Royal Berkshire Hospital is reviewing their parking facilities and engaging

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	<p>spaces in the multi story car park or the staff car park for people to use. They are regularly full meaning that staff and patients having to park on the surrounding roads. Are there any plans to extend the current car parks or to extend the staff parking permits to allow them to park in the "new" pay and display roads? Forcing staff who work at the RBH to pay £10 a day to continue to be able to get to work is a joke. That's £50 a week and up to £2,300 a year!! The average wage of a Heath Care assistant is £15,000 a year and a nurses is not that much higher. The RBH are understaffed as it is without adding another obstacle for them hiring new staff. This whole proposal come across as a desperate attempt by the council to make some extra cash from people who have no choice but to pay up. It's totally disgusting. I sincerely hope that you reconsider this proposal, without first majorly improving the current car park situation. It's change will negatively affect thousands and thousands of people and will benefit very few. Most of them residents who have driveways.</p>	<p>with local bus operators with the aim of introducing schemes that will assist with the current parking difficulties on their site.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is not responsible for the charges levied by the Royal Berkshire Hospital for use of their off-street parking facilities. This consultation applies only to the Highway. The proposed fees for the pay and display sites on the Highway are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities. This ensures that visitors are neither being encouraged, nor discouraged, from using either facility.</p>
84	<p>I would like to add my objection to the parking charges and restrictions which are being discussed currently. As you know staff currently park in these roads and a charge of £3.10 for two hours is outrageous given the salary that we receive. Some staff are unable to catch buses due to their location therefore driving is the only option along with dropping children at school and picking them up after work. I would also like to add will these restrictions apply on a Friday around 12.30 - 2pm when cars are mounted on the pavements on Alexandra Road/Addington Road and parked on double yellow lines and zigzag lines outside the Reading Boys' School. This happens now every Friday and nothing seems to be being done about it. Please add that to your next Agenda for discussion.</p>	<p>As per objection No.1.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is aware that the Royal Berkshire Hospital is reviewing their parking facilities and engaging with local bus operators with the aim of introducing schemes that will assist with the current parking difficulties on their site.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is not responsible for the charges levied by the Royal Berkshire Hospital for use of their off-street parking facilities. This consultation applies only to the Highway. The proposed fees for the pay and display sites on the Highway are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities. This ensures that visitors are neither being encouraged, nor discouraged, from using either facility.</p>
85	<p>I am writing to voice my thoughts about the proposed changes to parking in the Redlands area. Whilst I feel very strongly that improvements must be made, particularly regarding thoughtless parking and dangerous driving near to our school, I have grave concerns about the impact a permit-only approach would have not just on existing members of staff but on recruiting new staff to our school as we have no car park and can therefore only park in the neighbouring roads. If a permit-only system were to be approved, would the school be allocated a number of permits for staff? We have colleagues who commute from Wokingham, Woodley, Caversham, Tilehurst, Purley and possibly Slough.</p>	<p>The school can apply for discretionary permits.</p>
86	<p>I like to object to the parking consultation proposal put forward. I object to residents only zones as this is not at all considering the users of other facilities especially the school or the social life of residents. The school does not offer breakfast club. So I have to use my car to drop children off and then to drive off to work in hurry to reach work for 9am - which I never can due to traffic. If I am to walk children to school - walk home -get the car and drive to work I might as well resign from work because otherwise I will be fired for not reporting to work on time anyway! There should be possibility to park for example maximum of 1 hour during school hours on the surrounding area for</p>	<p>As per objection No.1.</p> <p>Some of the proposed residents parking schemes include shared use pay and display.</p>

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	<p>drop off pick ups. If a child is unwell how are parents to collect the child coming from work if there is no way of parking for even a small amount of time. Anytime I have friends over to drop off something or just a quick chat I need to pay for a visitor permit for them to be able to park? How many free visitor parking tickets will we get? Again we will have to foot the bill to have friends over - does the council want to isolate residents in this area? If the council is able to provide small span of time 1-2 hour no return X hours I think it will be more fair for everyone. Then it will stop cars being parked for longer free space for residents to park. I OBJECT to the residents only parking proposed in the consultation notice and hence the proposed Redlands Consultation Notice.</p>	
87	<p>I am concerned at the current plans to introduce parking restrictions in the Redlands area. As a teacher at Redlands Primary school I am reliant on parking on these streets. I have to drive given the length of my commute, and the necessary resources and books I ferry between home and school. I arrive every morning at approximately 7.30 and leave at 6.30. Should I have to pay for my parking / face restricted parking my job would no longer be feasible. We are one of, if not the only school in Reading that does not offer parking to staff, and to have to charge would severely impact our ability to attract and retain staff. I can understand local residents must be frustrated at the lack of parking outside their homes at times, but this needs to be balanced with having a local school to serve the local community. Should the proposal go ahead I would hope that as a minimum staff from the school would be offered parking permits.</p>	The school can apply for discretionary permits.
88	<p>I note the plans with interest. As a teacher at Redlands Primary School I am concerned that these proposals will make parking very difficult for school staff and visitors. As a minimum I feel that RBC employees who work at the school should be offered parking permits so that they can continue to park nearby.</p>	The school can apply for discretionary permits.
89	<p>Having been working at Redlands Primary School for [REMOVED] and every working day trying to find somewhere to park I agree that some parking regulations should be enforced but where does that leave the staff who travel to school every day from outside of the area? Will we be given permits to park? Will the changes make it safer for the children who attend Redlands? To my knowledge for some 20 years we have been striving to make the area safer. Every corner that Lydford Road joins another road the cars are parked dangerously close to the edge making it impossible for cars to see the children or the children to see the cars. There are no signs to say "SLOW" children crossing. This also causes problems for any deliveries to school as the lorries/vans are unable to turn around. I would like to see double yellow lines round all the corners in Lydford Road for the safety of both children and adults. This is something that should be a priority before an accident happens not after it happens.</p>	<p>The school can apply for discretionary permits.</p> <p><i>Note: Some identifying (personal) information has been removed from the objection.</i></p>
90	<p>This is NOT an objection as I am totally for the parking scheme in the Redlands area - this is definitely a scheme that is very much needed for both the safety of the local residents as well as the parking issues..... But as well as being a local resident, I also work at Redlands Primary School and I would like to request that permits are considered for staff that have to drive to school.</p>	<p>Support</p> <p>The school can apply for discretionary permits.</p>
91	<p>I am very disappointed in this proposal. Parking at and around the hospital is limited enough without this extra stress - one never knows what time is needed when attending or visiting! Common sense is called for here!</p>	<p>As per objection No.1.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is aware that the Royal Berkshire</p>

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
		Hospital is reviewing their parking facilities and engaging with local bus operators with the aim of introducing schemes that will assist with the current parking difficulties on their site.
92	I object to parking charges being levied on patients ,relatives and staff of the RBH this is outrageous at a time when people face financial pressures from all quarters. How dare you councillor's burden us further. There seems to be no end to you causing hardship in the name of profit ! !	<p>As per objection No.1.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is aware that the Royal Berkshire Hospital is reviewing their parking facilities and engaging with local bus operators with the aim of introducing schemes that will assist with the current parking difficulties on their site.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is not responsible for the charges levied by the Royal Berkshire Hospital for use of their off-street parking facilities. This consultation applies only to the Highway. The proposed fees for the pay and display sites on the Highway are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities. This ensures that visitors are neither being encouraged, nor discouraged, from using either facility.</p>
93	As a resident of Hamilton Road, and who suffers daily with the parking in the area and the inappropriately parked vehicles I'm submitting objections to the parking changes being considered in Redlands Ward. Previous changes in the area have already pushed more parked cars into the area from Eastern Av and beyond, and it is my belief that no further changes should be made in isolation without considering the neighbouring wards and the ultimate impact changes elsewhere produce.	The Hospital and University Area Study covers a wide area and consideration has been made of the likely impact to parking in the wider area, should changes to waiting restrictions be introduced in the immediate vicinity of the hospital. The proposals that have been consulted in this phase are a direct result of parking concerns that have been raised in the wider area.
94	<p>Once again I enclose the petition against the introduction of pay and display and changes to the 2 hour slots in the area around the hospital. This petition carries over 8000 signatures and is presented to you on behalf of the staff, patients and visitors. We are disgusted at the introduction of charges to the parking in what is a money making scheme for the Council. Parking is already stressful for patients and visitors and adding charges will only make this worse. You are penalising sick people and I have no doubt that traffic wardens will be hot on the heels of those whose ticket runs out.</p> <p><a href="https://www.change.org/p/reading-borough-council-prevent-the-introduction-of-new-parking-restrictions-around-the-rbh?recruiter=173692844&amp;utm_source=share_petition&amp;utm_medium=copylink">https://www.change.org/p/reading-borough-council-prevent-the-introduction-of-new-parking-restrictions-around-the-rbh?recruiter=173692844&amp;utm_source=share_petition&amp;utm_medium=copylink</a></p> <p>I urge you to withdraw the proposals for pay and display at the minimum and consider those people who have no representation by Councillors as they live outside of the wards.</p>	<p>Objection with resubmitted petition</p> <p>As per objection No.1.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is aware that the Royal Berkshire Hospital is reviewing their parking facilities and engaging with local bus operators with the aim of introducing schemes that will assist with the current parking difficulties on their site.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is not responsible for the charges levied by the Royal Berkshire Hospital for use of their off-street parking facilities. This consultation applies only to the Highway. The proposed fees for the pay and display sites on the Highway are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities. This ensures that visitors are neither being encouraged, nor</p>

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
		discouraged, from using either facility.
95	<p>I would like to raise my objections to NM/JC-CMS/5543. I know full well that parking around this area is pretty bad having visited Royal Berks when my mum was very ill and also when I've visited there for certain check ups. I don't think it's right that the pay and display charges are proposed to be £3/£3.10 for two hours for what can be a very stressful time. Finding available parking at times is bad enough. This combined with possible delayed appointments and potential fines can add up to a traumatic experience on top of everything else.</p>	<p>As per objection No.1.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is aware that the Royal Berkshire Hospital is reviewing their parking facilities and engaging with local bus operators with the aim of introducing schemes that will assist with the current parking difficulties on their site.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is not responsible for the charges levied by the Royal Berkshire Hospital for use of their off-street parking facilities. This consultation applies only to the Highway. The proposed fees for the pay and display sites on the Highway are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities. This ensures that visitors are neither being encouraged, nor discouraged, from using either facility.</p>
96	<p>I would like to confirm my support for these proposals. I hope they will contribute to resolving the parking issues in the Redlands area. In particular, Schedule 7 (Resident Permit Holders Parking Only Past This Point) for the narrow roads of terraced houses, with no off-road parking, is extremely welcome and should make life substantially more convenient and safer, with improved the air quality, for residents in these roads.</p>	Support
97	<p>This is a totally flawed idea. Taking advantage of people who are sick or visitors to the sick. Can't you find other ways to raise cash which do not affect the sick and dying. The multi storey parking at RBH has always been totally inadequate for the amount of people having to use its facilities. It is about time you had done something years ago when the hospital was revamped. Cannot funds be found to build another multi storey car park on the corner of Craven Road and Addington Road, which at the moment will only take a limited amount of vehicles, mainly for staff etc.</p>	<p>As per objection No.1.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is aware that the Royal Berkshire Hospital is reviewing their parking facilities and engaging with local bus operators with the aim of introducing schemes that will assist with the current parking difficulties on their site.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is not responsible for the charges levied by the Royal Berkshire Hospital for use of their off-street parking facilities. This consultation applies only to the Highway. The proposed fees for the pay and display sites on the Highway are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities. This ensures that visitors are neither being encouraged, nor discouraged, from using either facility.</p>
98	<p>I am writing this email with regards to the parking restriction in the Redlands area. As you are aware parking is horrendous in this area mainly due to the University and Hospital. Firstly, I have been a resident in this area all my life and it is frustrating and stressful not finding a parking on your road let alone near your house especially when you have dependant passengers. Fortunately, there are other roads nearby where if you are lucky you will able to find a place to park. Currently, I have to park in spots that are 5-10 minutes away from my home (by walk), which is something that I am learning</p>	<p>The Hospital and University Area Study covers a wide area and consideration has been made of the likely impact to parking in the wider area, should changes to waiting restrictions be introduced in the immediate vicinity of the hospital. The proposals that have been consulted in this phase are a direct result of parking concerns that have been raised in the wider area.</p>

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	<p>to live by.</p> <p>After reviewing the new parking restriction I am very disappointed with the plan. On a normal day people will be hunting for spaces which doesn't cost them. This will clearly have a "ripple effect" on people like me where finding a parking space would be absolutely impossible. As embarrassing as it is our guest and visitors can't find parking. So the question is WHERE DO I PARK? Like everyone else I have costs and I take full responsibility of it. Last thing I want is to pay just to get to my home, regardless if it is for 2 hours or overnight. We had a gathering on June where the local residents showed their support against this proposal. Has this been taken in to account? Will there be any workshops with regards to this plan? Is the council willing to provide parking permit for car owners that are permanent residents in the Redland area?</p>	
99	<p>I am writing to oppose the parking restrictions which have been proposed around the royal berkshire hospital. The hospital is a very busy place and already over stretched. Staff are struggling to afford expensive car parking charges,also many staff students etc are not given a permit. Patients are anxious stressed about apps diagnoses why add to the stress by making the parking even more difficult. If these changes are implemented I strongly believe it will cause more staff to leave resulting in a failing hospital. Patients will be late for appts resulting in huge financial implications to the trust . The royal berkshire has a fantastic reputation within the area of berkshire why would you jepadis this to line your pockets with money.</p>	<p>As per objection No.1.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is aware that the Royal Berkshire Hospital is reviewing their parking facilities and engaging with local bus operators with the aim of introducing schemes that will assist with the current parking difficulties on their site.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is not responsible for the charges levied by the Royal Berkshire Hospital for use of their off-street parking facilities. This consultation applies only to the Highway. The proposed fees for the pay and display sites on the Highway are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities. This ensures that visitors are neither being encouraged, nor discouraged, from using either facility.</p>
100	<p>Please note my objection to the introduction of on-street parking charges around RBH. People visiting the hospital, either as patients or visitors, as well as staff, paid or volunteers, are likely to be stressed and anxious and do not need the added duress of paying exorbitant parking charges. The hospital car park rarely has available parking spaces, in my opinion, so there is no option but to park on the road. I understand that nearly 10,000 people have signed a petition against the introduction of pay &amp; display charges: I think this demonstrates the depth of feeling among local people. Please take our views into account when voting on a decision.</p>	<p>As per objection No.1.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is aware that the Royal Berkshire Hospital is reviewing their parking facilities and engaging with local bus operators with the aim of introducing schemes that will assist with the current parking difficulties on their site.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is not responsible for the charges levied by the Royal Berkshire Hospital for use of their off-street parking facilities. This consultation applies only to the Highway. The proposed fees for the pay and display sites on the Highway are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities. This ensures that visitors are neither being encouraged, nor discouraged, from using either facility.</p>
101	<p>I am employed by the NHS and also have undergone chemotherapy, surgery and</p>	<p>As per objection No.1.</p>

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	<p>radiotherapy with a number of ongoing hospital appointments. The parking situation for patients, staff and visitors is appallingly difficult and adds considerably to the stress associated with hospitals visits and treatment and the time it takes out of your day. I have spent a great deal of time in search of parking either onsite or in the surrounding streets (when the car parks are full) driving back and forth between the 2 car parks waiting for a space to appear). In certain circumstances public transport is not an option, and especially for those undergoing chemotherapy where the immune system is compromised. Each hospital visit is considerably extended by the time I need to allow to find a parking space. You can imagine what that is like for those undergoing radiotherapy eg where they attend every day for 3'weeks. The proposals seem designed to further add to the difficulties without addressing the underlying issue. Charging for hospital carpark (especially at the current rates) is always going to be controversial at best and these new proposals seem to be about increasing revenue without showing any care and concern for those already facing difficult situations. I urge you to reconsider.</p>	<p>Reading Borough Council is aware that the Royal Berkshire Hospital is reviewing their parking facilities and engaging with local bus operators with the aim of introducing schemes that will assist with the current parking difficulties on their site.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is not responsible for the charges levied by the Royal Berkshire Hospital for use of their off-street parking facilities. This consultation applies only to the Highway. The proposed fees for the pay and display sites on the Highway are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities. This ensures that visitors are neither being encouraged, nor discouraged, from using either facility.</p>
102	<p>I'm a resident on Foxhill Rd and I have looked over the proposed plans for Redlands Parking. There are some concerns regarding the parking, Pay and display will cause a lot of restrictions and it will push these cars down Foxhill Rd and other roads which are already overcrowded. These spaces are taken up by students, some with 3/4 cars to a house. There needs to be parking permits per house hold and limit it to a max of 2 cars per house which hopefully will resolve the parking issues. Putting yellow lines down Lydford Road is going to make it soon impossible to park down the other streets in an already tight parking spaces. If you try and park after 19:00 it's rare to find a space and will need to park down another street but again these are already full. You will find desperate drivers trying to fit their car in the smallest space and causing knocks and bumps. Pay and display isn't going to help matters around the area but parking permits may help with the current overcrowding of cars that belongs to students.</p>	<p>The proposed RP scheme in the narrow roads is designed to manage parking in the area. The restrictions proposed in Lydford Road are necessary because parking in this road creates a road safety issue.</p>
103	<p>Having reviewed the enclosed plan, totally agree in double yellow on the side roads to Foxhill Road, Cardigan Gardens and Blenheim Gardens. This of course will making parking on the above roads very difficult unless permit are issued. Meaning 1 permit per household, and charging for additional permits but setting a limit per household. There are so many houses that have been converted into flats meaning some households have 4 cars, and this is causing major problems along with students parking up and not coming back to collect there cars for up to a week. I struggle daily parking, in recent weeks it has got very bad to the stage i do not take my car out due to not being able to park and i have spent half hour hour in recent weeks trying to park which can be very difficult as i have a son with asd, i have never seen it this bad i have lived on this road 3 years and really feel if parking is not sorted out i will have no option to move.</p>	<p>The Hospital and University Area Study covers a wide area and consideration has been made of the likely impact to parking in the wider area, should changes to waiting restrictions be introduced in the immediate vicinity of the hospital. The proposals that have been consulted in this phase are a direct result of parking concerns that have been raised in the wider area.</p>
104	<p>In reference to the plans to alter parking restrictions in the Redlands area, myself and my partner would like to register an objection that the plans only extend as far east as Erleigh Road. We live in Junction Road, which currently has a residents permit plus 2 free hours scheme. The "2 free hours" is very often abused and not enforced well as there are regularly several non-permit cars parked for far longer than 2 hours. And we are concerned that this would only increase if the roads around the corner were moved to pay and display. The parking is already limited (only on one side of the road) and it is rare to find a space outside our own house. Can the scheme be changed to make</p>	<p>The Hospital and University Area Study covers a wide area and consideration has been made of the likely impact to parking in the wider area, should changes to waiting restrictions be introduced in the immediate vicinity of the hospital. The proposals that have been consulted in this phase are a direct result of parking concerns that have been raised in the wider area.</p>

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	Junction Road (and other roads not included in the Redlands scheme already) residents permit only? Or expand the scheme eastwards? Or, enforce the area more effectively under the current regulations?	
105	<p>It has come to my attention that Reading Council have plans to introduce pay &amp; display / parking restrictions around the the RBH. I am writing to you to formally outline my objection to these plans and convey my disappointment at the council's approach. My grandfather had a severe stroke and was admitted to the stroke ward in RBH. I spent the next 2 weeks by his bedside as he passed away. My greatest comfort was that I was able to be with him when he had brief moments of consciousness and was there in his final moments. I have no doubt that if the restrictions were in place at that time I would not have been able to visit him every morning and every afternoon / evening primarily due to the cost. Additionally even when I would travel to see him before 9am and think of paying for parking at the hospital on occasions I would find the hospital car park was completely full on all levels. When the people of Reading are going to RBH it is very often for life changing circumstances and the last thing anyone should be concerning themselves with is whether they have enough funds to park. While I appreciate there are often business, budget, planning variables to consider I respectfully ask you to review these plans and provide even greater flexibility for anyone going to the hospital for short-term treatment or to see their friends and family.</p>	<p>As per objection No.1.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is aware that the Royal Berkshire Hospital is reviewing their parking facilities and engaging with local bus operators with the aim of introducing schemes that will assist with the current parking difficulties on their site.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is not responsible for the charges levied by the Royal Berkshire Hospital for use of their off-street parking facilities. This consultation applies only to the Highway. The proposed fees for the pay and display sites on the Highway are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities. This ensures that visitors are neither being encouraged, nor discouraged, from using either facility.</p>
106	<p>[Blenheim Gardens] I agree parking on the corners is dangerous but also makes it impossible to manoeuvre your car to turn round. However people only use this spaces when they are desperate to park. Cars get smashed and crapped and owners know this but have no other choice. To lose the suggested amount of spaces I think is ridiculous, 24 spaces is far too many. A Car length on each corner would be ample enough space to turn I know this from experience of turning in the round every day and living with the nightmare of this road. Perhaps the council should try and work with the University to arrange for students to park their cars at the University? Most cars do not move during the day because they aren't used. I would also like to know if Schedule 2 - no waiting at any time applies to ALL using Blenheim Gardens? On Fridays the road is thrown into more chaos with local people driving to the mosque on Lydford Road and taking up resident spaces. The norm seems to be that parking restrictions do not apply if you are visiting Mosques on a Friday. Double yellow lines, corners, junctions are regularly used causing obstruction to views, and safety doesn't seem to apply but the same rules leniency doesn't apply for residents doesn't seem to apply.</p>	<p>The Hospital and University Area Study covers a wide area and consideration has been made of the likely impact to parking in the wider area, should changes to waiting restrictions be introduced in the immediate vicinity of the hospital. The proposals that have been consulted in this phase are a direct result of parking concerns that have been raised in the wider area.</p>
107	<p>I have to object, yet again, to the poorly thought through council proposals in the University &amp; Hospital area parking study NM/JC-CMS/5543. The problem in this area is that there is not sufficient parking spaces for the cars that need to park in the area, particularly at night. These proposals remove parking spaces. They remove parking along virtually the entire length of Lydford Road and they remove parking places at its junction with the roads it crosses. Lydford Road is an important overflow area for people arriving home late at night and with nowhere else to park. Yet the council is proposing removing this from residents, forcing them to park perhaps half a mile away. And with the removal of spaces in over streets, such as Cardigan Road, Foxhill Road and Blenheim Road, this will be even more of a problem. We have been here before on Lydford Road and I fail to understand why the council and its officials continue to try</p>	<p>Vehicles parking in Lydford Road create a road safety issue as the road is not wide enough to cater for any parking.</p>

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	and stop parking here despite continued objections from the residents. In summary, I object to these proposals and I suggest the council should stop trying to enforce restrictions in this area because there appears to be no solution.	
108	<p>I am writing to oppose the changes proposed to take place along Addington Road, Erleigh Road and the other roads around the hospital. The Royal Berkshire Hospital serves a population of 600,000 people from Berkshire across acute medical, maternity and surgical areas. And with nearly 5,000 staff members working at the hospital, what is the Council proposing to do to help manage the parking situation? I strongly believe that until we address the parking at the hospital, whatever restrictions are brought in, the problem will not be solved. We all need to work together to achieve a resolution that benefits residents, staff, patients and visitors. Staff members already have to pay for a parking permit for the hospital and even then we are not guaranteed a space, often with non-permit holders parking in the South Wing car park. Given the fact that pay for NHS staff has only risen by 1% and yet they are talking about increasing the permit fees again, it is hardly surprising that some people simply cannot afford to pay for the permit and have to park on the road. What will happen to the hundreds of student nurses and student midwives who are not eligible to receive a hospital parking permit as they do not get paid by the Royal Berkshire Hospital? Or the staff groups, like ward clerks, who are not eligible for a permit? For visitors, with parking charges at the RBH already massively over-priced, the loss of on-road parking will only increase the anxiety and tension around parking when visiting patients. I, myself, made use of the 2 hour slots when visiting my terminally ill father. I visited him every day. I would not have been able to afford to pay every day to go and see him if the 2 hour slots did not exist. I believe the new restrictions would make it very difficult for others to spend time with their loved ones, add stress and anxiety to people attending appointments and make it even harder for staff at the hospital and residents to park. There simply isn't enough space as it is. By introducing these restrictions at this time, it will just push the problem further out onto the streets not protected by the restrictions. Furthermore, charging extortionate fees for parking at the hospital is morally wrong.</p>	<p>As per objection No.1.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is aware that the Royal Berkshire Hospital is reviewing their parking facilities and engaging with local bus operators with the aim of introducing schemes that will assist with the current parking difficulties on their site.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is not responsible for the charges levied by the Royal Berkshire Hospital for use of their off-street parking facilities. This consultation applies only to the Highway. The proposed fees for the pay and display sites on the Highway are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities. This ensures that visitors are neither being encouraged, nor discouraged, from using either facility.</p>
109	I am simply writing to express my support for the scheme as it is proposed. Many thanks in advance for those working to make this happen.	Support
110	<p>My objection would be for the double yellow lines on Lydford Rd, where will these cars park? Because as it stands there isn't enough space on Foxhill Rd and Cardigan Rd and putting yellow lines on Lydford Rd will push these cars down Foxhill Rd and Cardigan Rd. This morning was a nightmare cars/vans had parked right on the end of Foxhill Rd and Cardigan Rd which were just about a meter of Addington Rd. Lydford Rd also had cars parked. Please correct me if I'm wrong but I've heard if parking permits were introduced, there would be only parking on one side of the road? If this is true, parking permits will not be suited as it will take away half the parking spots. To get a true understanding of space and how over crowded these roads are it's best to visit these roads from 20:00 PM and you will see the lack of space and trying park. Though if the above about parking permits is false parking on one side I don't see how parking permits will actually make parking better? Is there actually any proof it will make it better?</p>	Vehicles parking in Lydford Road create a road safety issue as the road is not wide enough to cater for any parking.
111	This email is to highlight my objection to the introduction of pay and display parking charges which will adversely effect patients and family attending the RBH. The issue that should be dealt with is the inadequate parking facilities at the hospital.	<p>As per objection No.1.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is aware that the Royal Berkshire</p>

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
		<p>Hospital is reviewing their parking facilities and engaging with local bus operators with the aim of introducing schemes that will assist with the current parking difficulties on their site.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is not responsible for the charges levied by the Royal Berkshire Hospital for use of their off-street parking facilities. This consultation applies only to the Highway. The proposed fees for the pay and display sites on the Highway are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities. This ensures that visitors are neither being encouraged, nor discouraged, from using either facility.</p>
112	<p>I hereby object to the current proposal of parking restrictions on Redlands area. My reasons are below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Children have to be dropped off to Redlands school at 8:50am and parents need to park their cars while the drop off. This is because the school does not provide a breakfast club and parents have to be at work after drop off. For that we need at least 30min-1hr parking on the side roads. It is not safe to drive and try to park at the time children walk to school so we have to leave at least good 15min to drive and park before children starts arriving at school.</li> <li>2. Childminders operate on the area where parents drop off and pickup. This again can take from 10min-30min. You have to know what was eaten that day etc to be able to care for them properly. Sometimes the childminders need to give information of headbumps etc. The school does NOT provide afterschool care and as working parents we have to make do with other care.</li> <li>3. Friends visiting will need to park - how many free visitor parking permits /tickets will we need if only residents scheme offered? For little chats, dropping off things or even quick cup of tea will cost us money to provide visitor permits/tickets. Again having 2hr no return or similar will help and only the friends staying over or longer will need visitor tickets.</li> <li>4. School staff use the roads to park. The school has so many vacancies not filled - if parking is taken away recruiting will be even more difficult.</li> <li>5. There are religious worship places - mosque- where people need to come every Friday as I understand. It is unfair that these people will have to pay to park and what about the disabled and elderly visiting these places of worship? It is discriminatory against Muslims. For the record I'm not a Muslim.</li> <li>6. The whole area will benefit from 2hrs no return or residents parking without asking to pay at the machine and try to get money out of people- the school staff's issue will have to be addressed separately as school is also a "resident" of the area.</li> <li>7. This also applies to Alexandra Rd and that area too.</li> </ol> <p>As it stands I strongly object to the proposal.</p>	<p>The school can apply for Discretionary permits.</p> <p>Visitors can utilise the visitor permits allocated to each property.</p>
113	I would like to make my objection to the proposed pay and display charges. £3.10 for	As per objection No.1.

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	<p>two hours surrounding the hospital. This will add stress to those who need to visit loved ones and cause further delays of appointments and parking fines. I'm disgusted that the council is going ahead with the pay and display and that people visiting the hospital will have to pay such extortionate fees. This is targeting the vulnerable and I find this appalling!</p>	<p>Reading Borough Council is aware that the Royal Berkshire Hospital is reviewing their parking facilities and engaging with local bus operators with the aim of introducing schemes that will assist with the current parking difficulties on their site.</p> <p>Reading Borough Council is not responsible for the charges levied by the Royal Berkshire Hospital for use of their off-street parking facilities. This consultation applies only to the Highway. The proposed fees for the pay and display sites on the Highway are in line with the fees charged by the Royal Berkshire Hospital in their off-street car parking facilities. This ensures that visitors are neither being encouraged, nor discouraged, from using either facility.</p>
114	<p>I have been following the recent parking proposals for the Readings area with some interest and I am mailing you in order to let you know that I am very much in favour of the current proposal for residents parking, one permit per household, together with the parking restrictions on both ends of Hatherley Road and the other side streets in the local area. Recognising that parking space is at a premium the current proposal for residents parking seems to be the most practical in terms of convenience to local residents, I also understand that the proposed 10m restrictions are necessary on safely grounds, especially on the Lydford road end which is heavily used for school access. I have spoken with my neighbours at length on this subject and everyone seems to be of the same view on this subject. I look forward to the agreement and implementation of this proposal.</p>	Support
115	<p>I would like to object (again) to the proposed pay and display plans for Morgan Road. It would be a waste of money installing this on the Kendrick end of Morgan Road because out of 8 possible spaces 5 are regularly taken up by resident permit holders who dump their cars all week. Don't waste any more money please.</p>	These proposals were previously advertised and do not form part of this consultation.
116	<p>Your consultation document re parking changes for Eldon Square does not appear to include a map of the Square? I therefore assume that your plan is to have parking meters on all sections of the square so that the whole square is available for pay and display parking between the hours of 8.00 am and 5.30 pm Monday to Friday? This time frame could/will potentially correspond quite neatly with the working hours of many employees of the Royal Berks Hospital who drive their cars to work (as well as many other employees in the offices around here) where currently they pay more money to park for the same period of time in the hospital car park - £12.00. You will provide them with an incentive and facility to park on the square for less money, rather than at their place of work for the whole day. My objection therefore is to that part of your proposal which allocates an 8.00 to 5.30 time frame for pay and display parking to the whole square and would suggest that the pay and display period be the same as the Council originally proposed which was: permit holders at any time and/or pay and display for two hours maximum between 9.00 and 5.00, Monday to Friday.</p>	The proposals in Eldon Square only relate to the existing limited waiting bays on the east side of the square.
117	Referring to The Borough of Reading (Civil Enforcement Area) (Waiting Restrictions and Pay and Display) (Hospital and University No.3) (Highmoor Road) Order 2016. We are	The proposals were designed with feedback from the majority of residents in this area.

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	<p>residents of Lancaster Close. When this proposal was presented to the Traffic Committee it did not include residents' parking restrictions, but only the double yellow lines on the inside of the bends. We do not support the change to add residents' parking. We had understood previously that residents' parking was not generally implemented when off-road parking is available for houses, which is the case for this road. Anyway, our preference is to have lines that control the parking so as to keep it safe, but not to introduce further restrictions. We have regular visitor who require parking, and would prefer to have spaces freely available for them even if this means some parking by others. Such parking is generally restricted to short-term parking of parents dropping off children for the Abbey school on Christchurch Road, some weekday daytime parking. Most of the longer-term and weekend parking on the road is actually by residents.</p>	
118	<p>It is proposed to change the parking arrangements on Eldon Square to allow metered parking between 8am and 5:30pm in addition to 24 hour residents' parking. However, the charges proposed are broadly similar to the hospital car park, and would in fact be less for a 9-5 worker. Given the proximity, I fear that this will mean that Eldon Square will effectively become an extension to the hospital car park as more people find out about this, and will lose its utility as a short stay car park for visitors. Parking for residents in the evening can be very difficult under the current arrangement, but during the working day there are unused spaces. It would be preferable, in my opinion, to restrict the maximum non permit holder stay to two hours during the working day, whether metered or not. I believe that was the intention the last time changes were proposed.</p>	<p>The proposals in Eldon Square only relate to the existing limited waiting bays on the east side of the square.</p>
119	<p>I would like object against pay meters on Allcroft Road. As a resident who live on Allcroft Road. I don't want pay meters on our footpath this will destroy the beauty. Also this will bring extra vehicles to our road and if they can't find any parking they will reverse there cars in our drives to make a u turn. Allcroft road is already congested. I would prefer there be a 8am to 8pm 2hr parking limit only without pay.</p>	<p>As per objection No.1.  The type of waiting restrictions proposed for Allcroft Road formed part of a previous phase of consultation and did not form part of this consultation.</p>
120	<p>I wanted to take the opportunity to express my view on this proposal. I don't think its a good idea for the areas surrounding myself - Allcroft Road, Kendrick Road, Morgan Road etc. I believe the current restrictions are adequate in controlling parking as well as allowing some flexibility in parking. The increase level of restrictions therefore wouldn't be useful from my perspective and may even invite more stress &amp; frustration.</p>	<p>As per objection No.1.  The type of waiting restrictions proposed for Allcroft Road formed part of a previous phase of consultation and did not form part of this consultation.</p>
121	<p>With reference to the proposals to introduce permit parking to the streets around Redlands School, I wish to urge the Council to consider the impact on the staff of Redlands Primary School. It will be necessary for permits to be issued to all members of staff (teaching assistants and other support staff) as well as teachers, many of whom commute across town or from further afield. As you may know, the recruitment of staff is currently a major challenge for all Reading schools and Redlands is no exception. We feel it is imperative that this scheme makes proper provision for staff so that recruitment and retention is not further disadvantaged. I would urge you to work in consultation with the head, to draw up suitable plans.</p>	<p>The school can apply for Discretionary permits.</p>
122	<p>I have some serious concerns over the introduction of pay and display and time limited parking for the roads mentioned in the above schedules. These roads are currently used for all day parking by staff working at the Royal Berks Hospital, students attending Reading University, residents and their visitors and hospital patients and visitors. The</p>	<p>As per objection No.1.  This was not submitted on behalf of TVP. It is a view of a resident who works for TVP.</p>

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	<p>RBH multi storey car park is already at full capacity forcing people to seek other on road parking spaces. I know from experience that when visiting the hospital it is not always easy to estimate the number of hours you may be kept waiting and if in a queue you simply cannot leave the premises to top up your parking ticket. This causes further stress and anxiety. This proposal will have the effect of displacing a large number of vehicles which will then seek parking in other residential areas causing further problems like obstruction of driveways etc. This in turn will become a police matter impacting on the limited resources already available.</p>	
123	<p>Redlands school is with the Redlands Parking consultation area, the school does not have any off street parking for staff, If staff have to pay upto £10 per day to park to enable them to work at the school (has they are non residents ) then clearly they are likley to move to another school where they can park for free. The school arleady is finding it difficult to get staff due to the high price of living in Reading, and if all school staff (teaching and non teaching ) can't park for free then its liley the school will have great difficulty getting teachers and other staff. Provision for car parking Redlands school staff needs to be made. Some provision needs to be made for parents dropping off children at Redlands school. I would suggest Cardigan road / Foxhill road need to be mixed permit holders and visitor for up to 2 hours to allow parents to drop off children by car. this road is best are its away from the main entrance to the school which are busy with walking children.</p>	<p>The school can apply for Discretionary permits.</p>
124	<p>I am objecting to the Redlands parking proposal. My reasons are below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The proposed resident only parking area has public places: a school and places of worship. These places should be accessible to everyone. If residents only parking is implemented it will not be accessible by frail and elderly who need access. Furthermore, in an emergency when school calls parents have to come and collect sick children or injured children. This proposal will not allow these daily activities to function.</li> <li>2. School drop off pickup at Redlands Primary. This council run school does NOT offer breakfast club or after school club. This forces working parents to drop children off to school and drive to work. In order for this to function as is, parents require parking at least 30min - 1hr on the area proposed as residents only parking. If you want to implement this - first provide wraparound child care for working parents as the Labour Party promised. This is a huge issue for working parents but may not be an issue for people on benefits.</li> <li>3. We have visitors - friends coming for quick chat or to drop off something. This type of social calls require 1-2hr parking on the roads. If it's longer they can be issued a visitor parking - which we will have to buy from council- again charging residents. But expecting us to pay for each friend calling on us for quick chats by way of visitor parking permits is not acceptable.</li> <li>4. Not having wraparound childcare in school means we pay for private minders residing in surrounding areas. We need pickup/drop off time 1hr maximum- otherwise we will have to not only pay for childcare but also every time we park! As suggested in No2. above if school provided wraparound childcare this would not be a problem. But as it stands now it is a huge problem for working and tax paying parents.</li> <li>5. There are shops cafe saloon etc where pay display is proposed. Currently 2hr no</li> </ol>	<p>The school can apply for discretionary permits.</p> <p>Visitor permits are allocated to each property within a residents parking scheme.</p>

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	return works well on Erligh Road so why change? I rather come to local saloon where I do not pay rather than going to town. But if I'm to pay, I might as well go elsewhere as there is no incentive. This means the local shops will lose custom and that is not good for the area. It is a not well thought proposal to offer resident only parking that does not take the wider picture into account. With resident only OR 2hrs no return (or similar) it can work. But as it stands now I object the proposal	
125	I object to the proposed parking restrictions due to be put in place in the Redlands area. Whilst I agree that some parking restrictions are necessary the proposed schemes do not take into consideration the needs to the student population that forms a large percentage of the area. Students are usually in the area for only 9 months at a time and therefore do not require the full 12 month pass. Furthermore, if students only have their cars in Reading for a term (say for teaching placement or such like) a temporary permit may not be enough. Finally, if the vehicle is only based in Reading for a few months and usually based at the students home address then it is not necessary to change the vehicles registered address to Reading (indeed changing the registered address to Reading would most likely incur a financial cost). This means they do not have the required proof in order to be granted a permit anyway. Until a sufficient alternative be arranged for the large population of students in the area I do not think it in the best interests of all the residents to introduce this scheme.	The proposals in the "narrow roads" have been specifically designed to address the parking issues raised by the majority of residents in this area.
126	Redlands parking proposals. Excellent. Can't wait for them to be implemented as Lancaster Close is so dangerous with cars parked on the bends.	Support
127	With reference to your planned installation of parking meters in Eldon Square. I have lived in Eldon Square for [REMOVED] and have a resident's parking permit. I own my own property and I work full time [REMOVED]. [REMOVED] I find it inconceivable that I shall be unable to park my car, where I live, without paying extra charges. It is totally unacceptable to expect residents returning to their homes prior to 5.30pm to find 'other' parking and/or pay to park at meters. I wish it to be recorded that I strongly object to this proposal.	The proposals in Eldon Square only relate to the proposed level of charges for existing limited waiting bays on the east side of the square. The type of waiting restriction in Eldon Square did not form part of this consultation.  <i>Note: Some identifying (personal) information has been removed from the objection.</i>
128	I wish to object to this planning proposal, specifically the introduction of residents parking permits in Blenheim Gardens and surrounding roads. A very similar scheme was rejected earlier in the year, yet the council are trying yet again to push this through against resident wishes. The introduction of resident permits will have absolutely no effect on parking in the area. Any parking issues on these roads are not caused by non-residents parking and therefore won't be alleviated by introducing permits. I see this as yet another cynical attempt by the council to raise funds from residents, with a scheme they have not asked for and that will in no way improve parking in the area.	The proposals in the "narrow roads" have been specifically designed to address the parking issues raised by the majority of residents in this area.
129	I have read with great care what the planned parking scheme for Alexandra Rd. appears to be. Parking for residents is difficult at times during the week but NEVER at weekends. So the proposed scheme should not be applicable at weekend where parking should stay as it is now: free to whoever visits the town and/or the residents. That JUST MIGHT deter residents from transforming their front garden in a car park. Because exactly this disappearance of the front gardens will be the consequence of the proposed parking scheme. And this in a so called conservation area? Methinks not much	The type of waiting restrictions proposed for Alexandra Road formed part of a previous phase of consultation and did not form part of this consultation.  The Hospital and University Area Study covers a wide area and consideration has been made of the likely impact to parking in the wider area, should changes to waiting

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	interest from the council in conserving anything...And installing permanently ugly parking meters, in a conservation area? Amazing. As long as it makes money. Correct?	restrictions be introduced in the immediate vicinity of the hospital. The proposals that have been consulted in this phase are a direct result of parking concerns that have been raised in the wider area.
130	I am writing to express my objections to RBC's proposals to introduce parking charges in the Royal Berkshire Hospital area. I've been registered with the hospital for over 4 decades, where I attend the ENT outpatients' clinic every 3 months for a chronic condition. I can therefore testify that the 2-hour free parking spaces in the area have been serving their purpose adequately for a very long time. On the other hand, a careful reading of the document sent on 10 June 2016 to the Traffic Management Sub-Committee –copy attached– shows that the Council is failing to make their case for parking charges even under their own terms of reference. Furthermore, the methodology used in their "consultation" would seem unethical: it excludes the hospital users, restricting it to the nearby residents under the delusion that the charges being introduced are for their benefit –but RBC plan is not to remove the parking in those residential streets, but to charge for it! Thus the only plausible reason left for the Council to persist with their plan –in spite of the June objections and the subsequent 8,464-strong petition against it– is their willingness to make money out of the distress of the ill and those who care for them. Please remind those Councillors who sit on the Sub-Committee that such attitude is morally wrong; that, as our elected representatives, they have a duty of care for us; and that they must therefore bury this dishonest plan once and for all.	<p>As detailed within the main report, the proposals have been through various consultation exercises and are designed to manage parking in the hospital and university area.</p> <p>The types of waiting restrictions proposed are not unique to Reading. They are commonly used across the Country and all local authorities have the ability to apply such restrictions through existing highway and transport legislation.</p> <p>Visitors to the hospital and university will still have the ability to park within the proposed pay and display areas.</p>
131	I am writing with regard to the pay and display proposals, particularly concerning Eldon Square. The parking places in Eldon Square are already under enormous pressure due to larger homes being converted into flats, multiplying the demand. I have lived in Eldon Road for [REMOVED] and the situation has become progressively worse. So much so that we often have to park elsewhere - up to 15 minutes walk. We only own one car and the spaces in Eldon Square are not sufficient to even meet the requirements of the residents. I would sincerely ask that these proposals do not include Eldon Square. If anything, I believe that there is a strong rationale for making ALL of the parking bays - resident permit holders only.	<p><i>Note: Some identifying (personal) information has been removed from the objection.</i></p> <p>The proposals in Eldon Square only relate to the proposed level of charges for existing limited waiting bays on the east side of the square. The type of waiting restriction in Eldon Square did not form part of this consultation.</p>
132	I have been looking at the maps concerning parking restrictions/permit parking. I am very concerned as it seems that these restrictions only come up Foxhill Road as far as Lydford Road. As I live above Lydford Road at [REMOVED] it would mean parking in the upper half of this street would be very hard to find. There are a number of multiple occupancies as the University is in close proximity. It has become very difficult to find a parking space during the day, and almost impossible if using your car in the evening. I have lived in this house for almost [REMOVED] and until recent years parking was quite a bit easier.	<p><i>Note: Some identifying (personal) information has been removed from the objection.</i></p> <p>The entire length of Foxhill Road is being considered for permit parking as part of this consultation.</p>
133	It is for this reason that I oppose the introduction of permit only parking under the current parking permit guidelines. The distress created by such changes would be far greater than the level of frustration created by the current parking situation. If current proposals are to proceed then at the very least I call for the use of discretion in relation to the issuing of parking permits for my household and for residents in a similar situation. In such event I would expect households such as mine to be allocated parking permits for each individual residing in a given house at the time the parking restrictions	<p>Any new permit scheme that is introduced will follow the model that is adopted by Reading Borough Council at the time. At the time of writing, 'entitled' properties within the zone can apply for up to 2 permits, with some exceptions that apply.</p> <p>The Council has a number of discretionary permit</p>

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	<p>are introduced. This to me would appear to be the fairest approach, as it would allow existing residents to maintain their existing parking arrangements and would mitigate the likely negative impact such proposals would have on households like mine and individuals like myself. Without mitigation measures implemented such as these, and a blanket implementation brought forward as proposed, this will negatively impact the lives of some existing residents. I appreciate that this will not be the intention of the Borough but this is the reality and this should be taken into consideration so that the existing living arrangements of existing residents is not adversely impacted. I do not feel this approach would have a negative consequence as the majority of current parking issues are created by those who work in the area and park in residential areas while they go to work, study or various appointments at the Royal Berkshire Hospital and at local schools. Each permit should be issued for the duration of that particular residents stay at that particular address. Failure to do so would literally force people to leave their homes and would sever local ties and supportive relationships that people will have formed while living in the area. The distress caused by this would have profound implications for those affected. Unfortunately I will be abroad at the time of the committee meeting as I would have liked to attend and participate and voice my concerns; however, if at all possible I would like my concerns to be considered and discussed.</p>	<p>applications, for specific situations that are outside of the scope of the model.</p>
134	<p>Thankyou for all the hard work that has gone into getting us this far. The plans have really come together and from a personal perspective feel that Elmhurst will now work well for a range of users in our community. The only wrinkle I see is that the current plans, as I understand them, cater only for permit holders outside of 8am-5.30pm and at weekends. My thoughts are that one final group will struggle to participate in the street and that is the friends and family that visit in the evenings and weekends. Can we make part of the road free for them during out of hours. Using scratch passes will obviously work but strictly there isn't a need in my opinion.</p>	<p>The type of waiting restriction for Elmhurst Road did not form part of this consultation - only the proposed level of the pay and display charges that relate to the outcome of the previous statutory consultation. It is possible that alterations could be made to the scheme in the future.</p>
135	<p>I live in Allcroft Road. I have spoken to [REMOVED] and he says that as residents we would be entitled to a parking permit and visitors parking permits and a second paid parking permit. This however might be subject to future change. As long as we can have these permits it would appear that some of my previous objections have been dealt with. I do foresee some difficulties outside Pembroke Surgery where the entrance is in Erleigh Road.</p>	<p><i>Note: Some identifying (personal) information has been removed from the objection.</i></p> <p>Any new permit scheme that is introduced will follow the model that is adopted by Reading Borough Council at the time. At the time of writing, 'entitled' properties within the zone can apply for up to 2 permits, with some exceptions that apply.</p>
136	<p>I am writing this email to object against the plans for the new parking scheme around redlands. It would be a big inconvenience to not only me but many others who use those roads. I park there everyday for work, and I hope you would take into consideration that I'm a young person trying to start a life for myself and saving money is nearly impossible. Many others would find it a huge issue as well if these plans go ahead. I am concerned that the prices wouldn't be affordable and I would have to leave my job. I hope you take all options into consideration before going ahead with these big decisions and think about the affect on others.</p>	<p>As per objection No.1.</p>
137	<p>I am writing to object to the entirety of the Redlands parking consultation - residential permit introduction - which is due to come into effect in January 2017. As a resident of the area for the last 3 years, having a car is vital to myself and the multiple others</p>	<p>Any new permit scheme that is introduced will follow the model that is adopted by Reading Borough Council at the time. At the time of writing, 'entitled' properties within the</p>

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	<p>whom I live with. This consultation is inequitably favoured towards those households with fewer than 3 drivers - households which are few and far between in such a heavily student populated area. Implementing a parking scheme which limits houses to no more than 2 permits reduces the earning potential for families in the area, along with reducing mobility for residents. As a student I can speak on behalf of those who need their cars for work and other commitments, and one of the reasons why I moved to this area was because of the parking availability. Understandably the permits are to discourage those who work in the centre of town/around the area - from parking in residential areas, however this implementation will negatively effect the majority of residents (students). If this were to come into effect with any gravitas it would be advised that students vacate the area in July, therefore parking restrictions would be easier to implement in the vicinity in the summer months. The charges for extra permits would be unjust for students who would be paying the full £120 for an extra permit for only 6/7 months use - rather than the intended 12 months. Furthermore, in order to obtain these permits students would need to register their car to the property, inducing a hefty insurance change, which seems excessive for - what are as a rule - one year long lets. I hope you take my objections into consideration when debating the new parking limitations, as it would cause a lot of upset for the majority of residents; reduce the value of the properties; drive students away from the area - and the town as a whole; and ultimately cause irreparable damage to the community.</p>	<p>zone can apply for up to 2 permits, with some exceptions that apply.</p> <p>The Council has a number of discretionary permit applications, for specific situations that are outside of the scope of the model. The Council also currently operates a process of reimbursement for permits that are returned prior to the end of the 12 month validity period.</p>
138	<p>I would like to register my strong objections to this as I currently work at Redlands primary school where they do not have any parking other than on the nearby roads. I need to drive to work as it is impractical to walk or bus and also would cause me financial hardship which would make it impossible and impractical to continue working at the school. It would also be extremely difficult to be able to find somewhere to park in areas available, if there are any, as everyone would be fighting for those spaces. Therefore putting me out of work not only causes me financial hardship for myself and family but also the school to have staffing issues and my 1-1 would not have continuity of care which would also cause upset and anxiety.</p>	<p>The school can apply for Discretionary permits for its staff.</p>
139	<p>I object to the introduction of residential permit in the Redlands area - due to come into effect in January 2017, as a resident of Donnington Gardens. Having been a resident of the area for numerous years, multiple cars in the house is vital to myself and those I live with. This consultation is undoubtedly favoured towards households with fewer than 3 drivers - households which can be uncommon in such a diversely populated area. Implementing a parking scheme which limits households to a set number of cars per household the potential to reduce the earning potential for families and residents in the area, along with reducing mobility for residents. As a student I can speak on behalf of those who need their cars for work and other commitments - including getting back to their families in various areas of the country. One of the reasons why I chose this area of Reading was because of the parking availability. Understandably the permits are to discourage those who work in the centre of town/around the area e.g the hospital, from parking in residential areas, however this implementation has the potential to negatively effect a large number of residents in the Redlands area. If this were to come into effect please could I advise that the changes are implemented in the summer months, at the change over of letting contracts in the area affected. Partly this is due to those current residents leaving at</p>	<p>Any new permit scheme that is introduced will follow the model that is adopted by Reading Borough Council at the time. At the time of writing, 'entitled' properties within the zone can apply for up to 2 permits, with some exceptions that apply.</p> <p>The Council has a number of discretionary permit applications, for specific situations that are outside of the scope of the model. The Council also currently operates a process of reimbursement for permits that are returned prior to the end of the 12 month validity period.</p>

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	<p>the end of June having to pay for permits for only 6 months, at the price of £120 - a cost which seems extremely high when it's meant to be for 12 months. I hope you will consider the points raised above and the range of residences in the area. It is not your typical area due to the high level of students who rent proprieties on year long contracts. It will have wider repercussions than those raised in the consultation, due to a high percentage of lettings and landlords not knowing the what is happening in their property area.</p>	
140	<p>I am writing to object to the entirety of the Redlands parking consultation (in particular the restrictions on Donnington Gardens). As a resident of the area for the last 3 years, having a car is essential for myself and my household. Being without available parking in the surrounding area would have a hugely negative impact on our everyday lives. This consultation is also unfairly favoured towards those households with fewer than 3 drivers, as will be the case in such highly populated student area, due to the restriction of 2 per household. Whilst I understand that this consultation is being implemented to accommodate the permanent residents in the area, introducing a parking scheme which leaves no available parking for non permit holders will cause a dramatic upheaval to the majority of residents. As a student myself, I along with many other students I know in the area, need my car to travel to work as well as attend other commitments outside of Reading. Consequently, the availability of parking in this area is one of the key reasons why I chose to live in the area. If this were in fact to come into effect, I would ask you to consider that as student lets end in July it would therefore make sense that parking restrictions would be implemented in after this time. The charges for extra permits would be unjust for students who would be paying the full £120 for an extra permit for only 6/7 months use - rather than the intended 12 months. The difficulty of obtaining a permit would also be notable as we would need to register their car to the property, inducing a hefty insurance change, which seems excessive for the remaining 6 months we have left in our tenancy. I hope you take my objections into consideration when debating the new parking limitations, and if the decision is indeed to implement the changes, then I would hope you understand our issue with your planned start date of January 2017 and could push it back to the new student letting year.</p>	<p>Any new permit scheme that is introduced will follow the model that is adopted by Reading Borough Council at the time. At the time of writing, 'entitled' properties within the zone can apply for up to 2 permits, with some exceptions that apply.</p> <p>The Council has a number of discretionary permit applications, for specific situations that are outside of the scope of the model. The Council also currently operates a process of reimbursement for permits that are returned prior to the end of the 12 month validity period.</p>
141	<p>I wish to object to the introduction of additional parking restrictions in Lydford road as this would reduce the availability of parking for residents and is an unjustified restriction.</p>	<p>The majority of Lydford Road is very narrow and the restrictions have been proposed to remove inappropriate parking and maintain access, particularly for emergency service vehicles. Where wider lengths have been identified, permit holders only restrictions have been proposed to prioritise resident parking.</p> <p>The net result of a wide area resident permit parking scheme should be a reduction in parked vehicles on the Highway, providing more parking availability for residents.</p>
142	<p>We live in Malvern Court and have been omitted from the first consultation which took place some time ago. Please advise if this is because Malvern Court is actually private road? Our area falls out of scope of second consultation going on now. Please address parking issues in the area. It is a nightmare and occasionally impossible to park when I return from work or we return from town. Very often, during different times of the day people who are not residents or visitors of Malvern Court park on grounds of Malvern</p>	<p>The management of parking in private roads is the responsibility of the owner(s) of the road. Parking issues on parts of the Highway network that are outside of the scope of this consultation may be considered for investigation in other waiting restriction review programmes.</p>

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	Court. At different times of the day and week cars are parked for varying periods of time on double yellow markings. It seems random so I cannot give you any schedule.	
143	<p>I have been a resident at Cardigan Road for the last [REMOVED], and this has always been a very difficult place to park. I am dismayed at how hard it is to park in Reading as a resident and I am angry about the proposal to offer restricted parking and permits to some people living in adjacent roads but not to my house. It has become more and more difficult to park over the last two years. I am loath to go out in the evening, because I know that when i get home, I do not know if there will be any spaces at all in Cardigan or Foxhill roads and I have to drive around the streets looking for somewhere and this is frightening at night. This has got even worse, since you recently restricted parking in the upper part of Eastern Avenue. I used to park there if I could not find a space in Cardigan or Foxhill roads and now that you have restricted it, and I do not have a permit to park there I cant do so even though the upper part of Easter Avenue is now always half empty and has plenty of spaces that i am not allowed to use, even though I live in the next street. I am very angry that you seem to be thinking of some residents and not others and that you are not looking at this problem holistically or with any concern for me or the residents of my road. I would be grateful if you could stop making changes that are selfish for some, shortsighted and not inclusive of everyone. If some people are to have permits, I would like one as well, so that I can park in one of the many empty spaces in the next street in upper Easter avenue.</p>	<p><i>Note: Some identifying (personal) information has been removed from the objection.</i></p> <p>Cardigan Road, alongside neighbouring street, is being proposed for inclusion in the area resident permit scheme as part of this consultation.</p>
144	<p>I wish to comment and indicate my strong approval for the plans for Lydford Road, part of which runs by the side of my house in Alexandra Road. I have a [REMOVED], which permits my entry for off street parking and for my garage. I commented in June during the previous consultation and my comments at that time are still valid for the present proposals although I note that the current scheme permits some waiting on one side in some of the Western sections of Lydford Road, which are a compromise that I will not object to. I heartily welcome the proposal for double yellow lines and thus no waiting at any time along major sections of Lydford Road. My reason for supporting this are:-</p> <p>(1) At present vehicles frequently park in Lydford Road [REMOVED], making it extremely difficult for me to get my car from my garden. On many occasions I have had to make a five or six point turn to get past a parked car [REMOVED]. I have had to report parked cars and taxis to police and to the borough council on many occasions. There are signs at the junction with Alexandra Road and at the junction with Donnington Gardens that state "No motor vehicles except for access". As there are signs at both junctions, this should prevent any vehicles without business from driving in this section of the road, let alone parking there. Double yellow lines will at least solve the parking problem. (2) In the past a vehicle has completely blocked access [REMOVED] when I had a appointment to collect overseas business visitors by car for an important meeting. The police were unable to contact the owner and I was forced to hire a taxi for the meeting in another town and for return, costing a considerable sum, (scores of pounds). (3) Fire appliances have on two occasions been unable to get access to fires at Redlands Primary School via Lydford Road because of parked cars. I have also observed Fire Service personnel putting postcards about parking on cars parked in Lydford Road. It is in the interests of the emergency services and community safety that there should be no vehicle waiting in this street.</p>	<p><i>Note: Some identifying (personal) information has been removed from the objection.</i></p> <p>Supports the proposals.</p>

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
144 (cont inued )	<p>(4) I am informed that last year an ambulance on an emergency call to a home in Donnington Gardens was unable to achieve its desired access via Lydford Road because of parked vehicles. (5) [REMOVED] some years ago I was considering arranging for vehicle access into my front garden and made preliminary enquiries I was informed that I would not be granted planning permission for a vehicle gate and dropped curb on Alexandra Road. [REMOVED] (6) The welcomed residents parking and pay and display parking in Alexandra Road would push many daily commuter motorists into Lydford Road to park in preference to paying in Alexandra Road, if the no waiting restrictions proposed for Lydford Road were not incorporated. I have raised these problems with my ward councillors on many occasions and I am pleased that the important reasons that I have outlined above have been taken on board by them and that they have advocated and supported the no waiting at any time in Lydford Road. The points that I raise concerning the section of this road near my home apply equally to the other sections of Lydford Road and the proposed no waiting restrictions for other sections of this street are also important. In addition, sections of Lydford Road near Redlands School are even narrower than the section near my home and vehicles have a tendency to park in the centre of the carriageway in that area making passage for bicycles and pushchairs difficult. Only double yellow lines can solve these problems and add to the no vehicle access signs which are extensively ignored and not enforced. Thus as someone who is affected by the present situation, has a good knowledge of the various factors throughout Lydford Road as a resident and a user of the street both as a pedestrian and a cyclist and who will be affected by the proposed double yellow lines, I welcome the proposal and look forward to its implementation. In addition it is important for the no waiting restrictions to be there to permit access of emergency vehicles to the terraced streets and to Redlands School and the rear gate of Saint Joseph's College.</p>	
145	<p>I would like to register my objection to the 2 below proposals under the above reference: Under Schedule 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Blenheim Gardens, both sides - From a point 10m north of its junction with Lydford Road to a point 10m south of that junction.</li> <li>- All proposals to make Lydford Road a 'no waiting at any time' zone.</li> </ul> <p>The first proposal would eliminate anywhere from 4-8 existing residents' parking spaces on a road which is already low on available parking. This proposal is bizarre, why is existing parking being removed as part of a scheme to improve the availability of parking for residents in such a densely populated area? There is no justification being offered and as a result, we can only assume that this has been added in as a revenue generating exercise for the council. The second proposal again eliminates parking for residents in an area in which it is already difficult for residents to find parking spaces. Where is the advice on where residents will park once they are forced out of their own roads due to insufficient spaces? I'm afraid the myth of swarms of non-residents parking in the area (Blenheim Gardens in particular) and walking to work is completely unfounded and will prove not to be the case once the result of the enforced parking regulations transpires to be less available parking for residents, and more revenue for the Council.</p>	<p>The majority of Lydford Road is very narrow and the restrictions have been proposed to remove inappropriate parking and maintain access, particularly for emergency service vehicles. Where wider lengths have been identified, permit holders only restrictions have been proposed to prioritise resident parking. Some additional waiting restrictions have been applied around junctions to reinforce the Highway Code and ensure that the junctions are safer and more easily navigated.</p> <p>The net result of a wide area resident permit parking scheme should be a reduction in parked vehicles on the Highway, providing more parking availability for residents.</p>
146	<p>We would like to place an objection to the proposed Pay &amp; Display parking around Eldon Square. There is already insufficient parking for permit holders in the Eldon</p>	<p>The type of waiting restriction for Eldon Square did not form part of this consultation - only the proposed level of the pay</p>

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	<p>Conservation area. Many of the new residents to the area are young couples who have 2 vehicles, along with this building conversions in the area from business to residential such as Hanover House, new development and the current conversion of Berkshire House to student accommodation will all contribute to the increased number of vehicles looking for parking. The proposed opening up of all bays around Eldon Square between 8am - 5.30pm to the Pay &amp; Display parking system will in all likelihood be used by visitors to the RB Hospital as an alternative to the more expensive parking provided at the hospital, this will put further pressure on the residents with permits looking for parking during the day. We are of the opinion that the current 2 hour parking area at the top end of Eldon Square, if converted into the Pay &amp; Display would be sufficient.</p>	<p>and display charges that relate to the outcome of the previous statutory consultation. It is possible that alterations could be made to the scheme in the future.</p> <p>The net result of a wide area resident permit parking scheme should be a reduction in parked vehicles on the Highway, providing more parking availability for residents.</p>
147	<p>I am a home owner in Donnington Gardens, I object to these proposals, and think there should be more shared parking, ie, upto 2 hours and residents parking. There should be the possibility of having more than 2 residents parking permits per household as this is a student living area and this brings a lot of kudos and supports economic growth in Reading.</p>	<p>Officers have reported to the Sub-Committee that in order to introduce a 'permit holders beyond this point' restriction, there can be no other waiting restrictions in this 'zone' that require signs. Shared-use parking would require signs and marked bays, requiring parking on one side of the street to be removed, due to the narrow nature of the street. The proposed solution is a compromise that prioritises resident parking, while not reducing the current parking capacity of the street.</p> <p>Any new permit scheme that is introduced will follow the model that is adopted by Reading Borough Council at the time. At the time of writing, 'entitled' properties within the zone can apply for up to 2 permits, with some exceptions that apply.</p> <p>The Council has a number of discretionary permit applications, for specific situations that are outside of the scope of the model. The Council also currently operates a process of reimbursement for permits that are returned prior to the end of the 12 month validity period.</p>
148	<p>A meeting of the majority of the residents of Elmhurst Road was held on Friday 27<sup>th</sup> May to discuss the proposed parking provisions in Elmhurst Road. The outcome of which was as follows.</p> <p>The proposed parking schemes for our area seem to be helpful towards meeting the needs of residents and we welcome the provision under Schedule 5, Elmhurst Road West Side for resident permits only. We feel that the combined scheme will help to remove congestion and together with the new 20 mph. zone should also tend to increase general safety for pedestrians, cyclists and motorists.</p> <p>However, we feel that Schedule 4 for parking for the whole of Elmhurst Road (except that already designated under Schedule 5) is too restrictive.</p> <p>We would like some free parking available for short stays by visitors and tradesmen along the 100m section on the University side of Elmhurst Road (East side), that is "from a point 60m northeast of its junction with Redlands Road to a point 100m</p>	<p><i>Note: Some identifying (personal) information has been removed from the objection.</i></p> <p>The writer confirms that they represent the views of 12 households.</p> <p>The type of waiting restriction for Elmhurst Road did not form part of this consultation - only the proposed level of the pay and display charges that relate to the outcome of the previous statutory consultation. It is possible that alterations could be made to the scheme in the future.</p> <p>The Council has a number of discretionary permit applications available for specific persons, subject to eligibility. This includes a discretionary permit application for carers.</p>

No.	Objections/support/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
	<p>northeast of that point", and we would like to suggest the following amendment for that section:</p> <p>That Schedule 6 be applied, matching the suggested provision in Marlborough Avenue<sup>1</sup> with the addition that the free waiting period of 2 hrs would be controlled [if possible] by a timed ticket<sup>2</sup></p> <p>We are also concerned that under the present proposals there is nothing that will meet the needs of a disabled neighbour who requires visits by carers twice each day, every day, each of at least two hours duration and, very importantly, friends and family members at any time. To issue visitors' permits for these would amount to over £600 a year. A dedicated space outside No [REMOVED] allowing the carers, visitors and family members unlimited parking in this otherwise Residents Only (Schedule 5) area would meet the requirement. [see attached letter submitted by [REMOVED] of [REMOVED], Elmhurst Road]<sup>3</sup></p> <p><sup>1</sup> This provides for free overnight and weekend parking in addition to 2 hrs free waiting during working hours. This would allow guests to park free overnight and at week-ends but would discourage students or others from parking in the street for prolonged periods.</p> <p><sup>2</sup> We suggest using timed tickets for the free 2hr waiting period to enable the wardens to enforce the time limit as they check other pay and display tickets and it would also prevent overnight parking extending beyond 8.00am</p> <p><sup>3</sup> [Attachment] Letter submitted by [REMOVED] OF [REMOVED], Elmhurst Road, Reading RG1 [REMOVED] in support of [REMOVED] case.</p>	
149	<p>We strongly object to these charges. They will disproportionately affect the sick and elderly at a time of great stress which will be made worse by these charges. It's nonsense in many cases to say that this will protect local residents as some of the designated areas have few if any residents in the immediate vicinity. It is just a source of revenue for the council. We appreciate it is hard for councils at the moment, but please don't hammer the sick and elderly like this</p>	<p><i>Note: This objection was received after the closing date of the statutory consultation.</i></p> <p>As per objection No.1.</p>